

CLASSIFYING BIRATIONALLY COMMUTATIVE PROJECTIVE SURFACES

S. J. SIERRA

ABSTRACT. Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be a noetherian connected graded domain of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 3 over an uncountable algebraically closed field. Suppose that the graded quotient ring of R is of the form

$$Q_{\text{gr}}(R) \cong K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma]$$

where K is a field; we say that R is a *birationally commutative projective surface*. We classify birationally commutative projective surfaces and show that they fall into four families, parameterized by geometric data. This generalizes work of Rogalski and Stafford on birationally commutative projective surfaces generated in degree 1; our proof techniques are quite different.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and background	7
3. Approximating birationally commutative surfaces in codimension 1	9
4. Points of finite order	15
5. An ample model for R	20
6. Stabilizing 0-dimensional data	27
7. Transversality of the defining data	33
8. Constructing Y	40
9. Surjectivity in large degree	54
10. The proof of the main theorem	57
References	59

1. INTRODUCTION

The classification of *noncommutative projective surfaces*—connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded noetherian domains of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 3—has been one of the major motivating problems in noncommutative ring theory over the past two decades. In this paper, we resolve an important special case of this problem, classifying *birationally commutative projective surfaces*.

We begin with some terminology. We work over an uncountable algebraically closed field $\mathbb{k}$. An $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra R is *connected graded* if $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$. Let R be

Date: March 3, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14A22, 16P40, 16P90, 16S38, 16W59, 18E15.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative projective geometry, noncommutative projective surface, noetherian graded ring.

a connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded noetherian domain. Its graded quotient ring is then of the form

$$Q_{\text{gr}}(R) \cong D[z, z^{-1}; \sigma],$$

where D is a division ring and σ is an automorphism of D . By abuse of terminology, we refer to D as the *function field* of R . If D is a (commutative) field, we say that R is *birationally commutative*. If R has GK-dimension 3, we say that R is a *birationally commutative projective surface*.

In this paper, we classify birationally commutative projective surfaces up to taking Veronese subrings. We show that they occur in four families, and give geometric data defining them. Our results generalize (and give new proofs of) results of Rogalski and Stafford [RS06] on birationally commutative projective surfaces generated in degree 1.

Birationally commutative algebras have been fruitful objects of study in noncommutative ring theory for a number of years. The fundamental example is the *twisted homogeneous coordinate ring* construction of Artin and Van den Bergh [AV90]. Let X be a projective variety, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an appropriately positive invertible sheaf on X . (The technical term is that $\mathcal{L}$ is σ -*ample*; for a more precise definition, see Section 2.) For notational purposes, let

$$\mathcal{L}^\sigma := \sigma^* \mathcal{L},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{L}_n := \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{n-1}}.$$

The twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ is defined as the section algebra of the twisted powers $\mathcal{L}_n$ of $\mathcal{L}$. That is,

$$B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_n).$$

Multiplication on $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ is induced from the product

$$\mathcal{L}_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_m^{\sigma^n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}_{n+m}.$$

For a concrete example, let $\tau : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be defined by $\tau([x : y]) = [x : x + y]$. Then $B(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1), \tau)$ is isomorphic to the *Jordan plane*

$$\mathbb{k}_J[x, y] := \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx - x^2).$$

By [Kee00, Theorem 1.2], if $\mathcal{L}$ is ample and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^o(X)$, then $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ is a birationally commutative noetherian domain of GK-dimension $\dim X + 1$.

Not all noetherian birationally commutative domains are twisted homogeneous coordinate rings. For example, let $X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma$ be as above, and let Z be a proper subscheme of X , defined by an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$. In [Sie08], the author studied the ring

$$(1.1) \quad R := R(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, Z) := \mathbb{k} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^0(X, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma).$$

Note that $R_{\geq 1}$ is a right ideal of $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$. Under relatively mild conditions on σ and Z , R is the *idealizer* of this right ideal: the maximal subring of $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ in which $R_{\geq 1}$ is a two-sided ideal.

When $R(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, Z)$ is noetherian is understood. It depends on a geometric condition on the motion of Z under σ , known as *critical transversality*: roughly speaking, σ and Z should be in general position.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a variety, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$, and let Z be a closed subscheme of X . Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. The set $\{\sigma^n(Z)\}_{n \in A}$ is *critically transverse* if, for all closed subschemes Y of X , we have for all but finitely many $n \in A$ that

$$\text{Tor}_i^X(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^n(Z)}) = 0$$

for $i > 0$.

If Z is a point, then $\{\sigma^n Z\}$ is critically transverse exactly when it is *critically dense*: it is infinite, and any infinite subset is Zariski-dense.

Recall:

Theorem 1.3. [Sie08, Theorem 1.8] *If $\{\sigma^n Z\}$ is critically transverse, then the idealizer $R(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, Z)$ is noetherian.* $\square$

For example, let $B := B(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1), \tau)$ as above, and assume that $\text{char } k = 0$. Then the subring

$$k + yB$$

is equal to $R(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1), \tau, [1 : 0])$. That it is noetherian follows from Theorem 1.3; this result is originally due to Stafford and Zhang [SZ94, Example 0.1].

By Artin and Stafford's remarkable classification of noncommutative projective curves, we have now seen all the classes of noetherian connected graded domains of GK-dimension 2.

Theorem 1.4. ([AS95]) *Let R be a noetherian connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded domain of GK-dimension 2. Then some Veronese subring of R is either:*

- (1) *a twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ for some projective curve X , automorphism σ of X , and σ -ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X ; or*
- (2) *an idealizer $R(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, Z)$, where $X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma$ are as above, and Z is a proper subscheme of X , supported at points of infinite order.* $\square$

In this paper, we consider noetherian finitely $\mathbb{N}$ -graded domains of GK-dimension 3, and classify those that are birationally commutative. We show that these also depend on geometric data, although, not surprisingly, the data is more complicated than that of Theorem 1.4. Besides twisted homogeneous coordinate rings and idealizers in them, another large class of birationally commutative projective surfaces are the *naïve blowup algebras*, as constructed in [KRS05] (and generalized in [RS07]).

To construct a naïve blowup algebra, begin as usual with a projective surface X , an automorphism σ of X , and a σ -ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X . Also choose a point $P \in X$ (or more generally, let P be a 0-dimensional subscheme of X). Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_P$ be the ideal sheaf of P . Then we may form a ring

$$(1.5) \quad S(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, P) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}^\sigma \cdots \mathcal{I}^{\sigma^{n-1}} \mathcal{L}_n),$$

which we refer to as a *naïve blowup of X at P* . The construction of $S(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, P)$ mimics the construction of a commutative blowup as a Rees ring: we are taking (sections of) higher and higher successive powers of the ideal defining P , using the multiplication in the twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$.

As with idealizers, the properties of the naïve blowup $S(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, P)$ depend on the geometry of the orbits $\{\sigma^n P\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. In particular, the algebra is noetherian exactly when this set is critically transverse.

Theorem 1.6. ([RS07, Theorem 1.1], [Sie09, Proposition 4.12]) *Let X be a projective variety, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a σ -ample invertible sheaf on X . Let P be a 0-dimensional closed subscheme of X . The ring $S(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, P)$ is noetherian if and only if all points of P have critically dense orbits.* $\square$

Rogalski and Stafford [RS06] have classified all birationally commutative projective surfaces that are generated in degree 1. It turns out that twisted homogeneous coordinate rings and naïve blowups are the only two types of rings that occur.

Theorem 1.7. ([RS06, Theorem 1.1]) *If R is a birationally commutative projective surface that is generated in degree 1, then up to a finite-dimensional vector space R is either:*

- (1) *the twisted homogeneous coordinate ring of a projective surface; or*
- (2) *the naïve blowup of a projective surface at a 0-dimensional subscheme supported on points that move in critically dense orbits.* $\square$

We note that Rogalski and Stafford consider a slightly more general class of rings than our noncommutative projective surfaces. They study finitely $\mathbb{N}$ -graded noetherian domains R whose graded quotient ring is of the form

$$K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma]$$

where $K = \mathbb{k}(X)$ is the function field of a projective surface X such that σ induces an automorphism of X . By [Rog07, Theorem 1.1], any such R has GK-dimension 3 or 5, and any birationally commutative domain of GK-dimension 3 that is generated in degree 1 is of the form considered in [RS06]. See Section 10 for more discussion of the GK-dimension of noncommutative surfaces.

The hypothesis in Theorem 1.7 that R be generated in degree 1 seems overly restrictive; note that in contrast with the commutative case, there are many noncommutative noetherian graded rings that have no Veronese subring generated in degree 1. (For example, the idealizers in (1.1) have this property.) We are able to remove this restriction and give a complete classification of birationally commutative surfaces, using methods that are quite different from the proof of Theorem 1.7. Besides idealizers, naïve blowups, and twisted homogeneous coordinate rings, one new type of ring arises; we refer to these as *ADC rings*. These are a generalized form of naïve blowup, with many similar properties and some important differences — notably, these algebras may not have any Veronese generated in degree 1. (For more discussion of ADC rings, see [Sie09].)

We obtain:

Theorem 1.8. *Let R be a connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded birationally commutative noetherian domain of GK-dimension 3. Then some Veronese subring of R is either:*

- (1) *the twisted homogeneous coordinate ring of a projective surface;*
- (2) *a naïve blowup or ADC ring on a projective surface; or*
- (1'), (2') *an idealizer inside a ring of type (1) or (2).*

Further, all defining data is critically transverse.

We wish to state Theorem 1.8 more precisely. To do so, we recall some terminology from [Sie09]. We say that the tuple $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ is *surface data* if:

- X is a projective surface;
- σ is an automorphism of X ;

- $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on X ;
- Ω is a curve on X ;
- $\mathcal{D}$ is the ideal sheaf of a 0-dimensional subscheme of X such that all points in the cosupport of $\mathcal{D}$ have distinct infinite σ -orbits; and
- $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are ideal sheaves on X , cosupported on 0-dimensional subschemes consisting of points of infinite order, such that

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A} \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}.$$

Let Z be the scheme defined by $\mathcal{D}$, let Λ be the scheme defined by $\mathcal{A}$, and let Λ' be the scheme defined by $\mathcal{C}$. The surface data $\mathbb{D}$ is *transverse* if:

- $\mathcal{L}$ is σ -ample; and
- $\{\sigma^n Z\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\sigma^n \Lambda\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{\sigma^n \Lambda'\}_{n \leq 0}$, and $\{\sigma^n \Omega\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are critically transverse.

Given surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$, we define sheaves $\mathcal{T}_n$ by setting $\mathcal{T}_0 := \mathcal{O}_X$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}^\sigma \cdots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}} \mathcal{C}^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{L}_n$$

for $n \geq 1$. We define an algebra $T(\mathbb{D})$ associated to $\mathbb{D}$ by

$$T(\mathbb{D}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_n).$$

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.10. *Let $\mathbb{k}$ be an uncountable algebraically closed field and let R be a birationally commutative projective surface over $\mathbb{k}$. Then there is transverse surface data $\mathbb{D}$ so that some Veronese of R is isomorphic to $T(\mathbb{D})$.*

We compare Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.10. Let $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ be transverse surface data. Let $\mathcal{A}' \supseteq \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}' \supseteq \mathcal{C}$ be maximal so that

$$\mathcal{A}' \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{E} := (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}', \emptyset).$$

The algebra $T(\mathbb{E})$ is called an *ADC ring*; of course, if $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}_X$ then $T(\mathbb{E})$ is just the twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$. It turns out that $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a left idealizer inside a right idealizer inside $T(\mathbb{E})$.

By enumerating the possible types of birationally commutative surfaces, Theorem 1.10 has important applications. For example, there are strong restrictions on the behavior of the Artin-Zhang χ conditions (see Section 10) for birationally commutative projective surfaces.

Theorem 1.11. *Let $\mathbb{k}$ be an uncountable algebraically closed field and let R be a birationally commutative projective surface over $\mathbb{k}$ that satisfies left or right χ_2 . Then some Veronese subring of R is a twisted homogeneous coordinate ring, and R satisfies χ .*

The main difficulty in proving both Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.7 is constructing the classical projective surface X that is associated to a given birationally commutative projective surface R . Rogalski and Stafford prove Theorem 1.7 through a delicate analysis of a certain class of modules, called *point modules* over R . This is quite difficult because for naïve blowups such modules are parameterized by an infinite series of projective schemes but not by any individual projective scheme; see [KRS05, Theorem 1.1]. In contrast, in the proof of Theorem 1.10 we construct

the surface X much more directly, through a method of successive approximations of the “correct” surface. While there are technical issues involved in this proof, most of them are involved with showing that this method does, in fact, lead to an appropriate projective surface, and the actual construction is relatively straightforward.

As mentioned, the classification given in Theorem 1.8 is more complex than the classification of curves in Theorem 1.4. Note that a connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded domain of GK 2 is automatically, by Theorem 1.4, birationally commutative. For surfaces, this requires an additional assumption. In fact, the full birational classification of noncommutative projective surfaces is still unknown, although there is a long-standing conjecture due to Artin. Artin’s conjecture may be phrased as:

Conjecture 1.12. ([Art95, Conjecture 4.1]) *If R is a noncommutative projective surface, then its function field is either:*

- (1) *a field of transcendence degree 2 (birationally commutative);*
- (2) *a division ring finite-dimensional over a central field of transcendence degree 2 (birationally PI);*
- (3) *the full quotient division ring of an Ore extension $K[x; \sigma, \delta]$, where K is a field of transcendence degree 1 (a “quantum ruled surface”); or*
- (4) *$D(E, \sigma)$, the function field of the Sklyanin algebra $\text{Sk}_3(E, \sigma)$ for some elliptic curve E and automorphism σ of E (a “quantum rational surface”).*

Theorem 1.10 resolves the classification of noncommutative surfaces falling into case (1) of Artin’s conjecture. We note that Artin’s original formulation of Conjecture 1.12 assumed much stronger technical conditions on the rings under study; it is interesting that these assumptions are not necessary to understand the birationally commutative case.

Since Theorem 1.10 is our main result, its proof takes up much of this paper. We briefly summarize the organization of the paper here. One of our main techniques will be to work, not with an algebra R , but with an associated quasicoherent sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ on some projective surface. This sheaf is known as a *bimodule algebra*. We give necessary background on bimodule algebras and some other technical terms in Section 2. In Sections 3–6 we construct surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ associated to R . This gives an approximation to R , and in fact we show that $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a finite left and right module over a Veronese of R . The surface X is always normal, and $T(\mathbb{D})$ is best thought of as some sort of normalization of R . In Section 7, we show that $\mathbb{D}$ is actually transverse. In Section 8, we construct a finite birational morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$, an action of ϕ on Y conjugate to σ , and a ϕ -ample invertible sheaf on Y so that (some Veronese of) R is contained in $B(Y, \mathcal{M}, \phi)$. In Section 9 we prove a general result giving sufficient conditions for a subring of some algebra $T(\mathbb{E})$ to be equal to $T(\mathbb{E})$ in large degree. Finally, in Section 10 we show that there are ideal sheaves $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{G}$ and a curve Φ on Y so that $\mathbb{D}' = (Y, \mathcal{M}, \phi, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ is transverse surface data and so that some Veronese of R is isomorphic to $T(\mathbb{D}')$. This proves Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.8; we also prove Theorem 1.11.

Acknowledgements. The results in this paper were part of my Ph. D. thesis at the University of Michigan, under the direction of J. T. Stafford. During the writing of this paper, I was supported by NSF grants DMS-0555750 and DMS-0802935. I would like to thank Mel Hochster, Dan Rogalski, and Karen Smith for many informative conversations.

2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

Throughout, we let $\mathbb{k}$ be a fixed uncountably algebraically closed field; all schemes are of finite type over $\mathbb{k}$.

Given a birationally commutative projective surface R , we seek to produce associated geometric data. One of our key techniques will be to work, not with a graded ring, but with a *bimodule algebra* on some projective scheme X . In this section, we give the relevant definitions and notation for bimodule algebras. Most of the material in this section was developed in [Van96] and [AV90]. Our presentation follows that in [KRS05] and [Sie08].

A bimodule algebra on a variety X is, roughly speaking, a quasicoherent sheaf with a multiplicative structure.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a projective variety; that is, a projective integral separated scheme (of finite type over $\mathbb{k}$). An $\mathcal{O}_X$ -*bimodule* is a quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}$ -module $\mathcal{F}$, such that for every coherent $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, the projection maps $p_1, p_2 : \text{Supp } \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow X$ are both finite morphisms. The left and right $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structures associated to an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule $\mathcal{F}$ are defined respectively as $(p_1)_*\mathcal{F}$ and $(p_2)_*\mathcal{F}$. We make the notational convention that when we refer to an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule simply as an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, we are using the left-handed structure (for example, when we refer to the global sections or higher cohomology of an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule).

There is a tensor product operation on the category of bimodules that has the expected properties; see [Van96, Section 2].

All the bimodules that we consider will be constructed from bimodules of the following form:

Definition 2.2. Let X be a variety and let $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Let (σ, τ) denote the map

$$\begin{aligned} X &\rightarrow X \times X \\ x &\mapsto (\sigma(x), \tau(x)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a quasicoherent sheaf on X , we define the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule ${}_{\sigma}\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ to be

$${}_{\sigma}\mathcal{F}_{\tau} = (\sigma, \tau)_*\mathcal{F}.$$

If $\sigma = 1$ is the identity, we will often omit it; thus we write $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ for ${}_1\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ for the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule ${}_1\mathcal{F}_1 = \Delta_*\mathcal{F}$, where $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a variety and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$. An $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule $\mathcal{R}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -*bimodule algebra* or *bimodule algebra* if it is an algebra object in the category of bimodules. That is, there are a unit map $1 : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and a product map $\mu : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ that have the usual properties.

We follow [KRS05] and define

Definition 2.4. Let X be a variety and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$. A bimodule algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is a *graded* $(\mathcal{O}_X, \sigma)$ -*bimodule algebra* if:

- (1) There are coherent sheaves $\mathcal{R}_n$ on X such that

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} {}_1(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n};$$

- (2) $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{O}_X$;

(3) the multiplication map μ is given by $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module maps $\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{R}_m^{\sigma^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n+m}$, satisfying the obvious associativity conditions.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a variety and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a graded $(\mathcal{O}_X, \sigma)$ -bimodule algebra. A *right $\mathcal{R}$ -module* $\mathcal{M}$ is a an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ together with a right $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module map $\mu : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the usual axioms. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *graded* if there is a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}_n)_{\sigma^n}$$

with multiplication giving a family of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module maps $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{R}_m^{\sigma^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$, obeying the appropriate axioms.

We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *coherent* if there are a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{M}'$ and a surjective map $\mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of ungraded $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. We make similar definitions for left $\mathcal{R}$ -modules. The bimodule algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is *right (left) noetherian* if every right (left) ideal of $\mathcal{R}$ is coherent. By standard arguments, a graded $(\mathcal{O}_X, \sigma)$ -bimodule algebra is right (left) noetherian if and only if every graded right (left) ideal is coherent.

If $\mathcal{R}$ is a graded $(\mathcal{O}_X, \sigma)$ -bimodule algebra, we may form its *section algebra*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_n).$$

Multiplication on $H^0(X, \mathcal{R})$ is induced from the multiplication map μ on $\mathcal{R}$; that is, from the maps

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_n) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_m) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \sigma^n} H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_n) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_m^{\sigma^n}) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_{n+m}).$$

We recall that ampleness is a key concept when working with bimodule algebras.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a projective variety, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$, and let $\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of coherent sheaves on X . The sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *right ample* if for any coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{F}$, the following properties hold:

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n$ is globally generated for $n \gg 0$;
- (ii) $H^q(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$ and all $q \geq 1$.

The sequence $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *left ample* if for any coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{F}$, the following properties hold:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\sigma^n}$ is globally generated for $n \gg 0$;
- (ii) $H^q(X, \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\sigma^n}) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$ and all $q \geq 1$.

We say that an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ is *σ -ample* if the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodules

$$\{(\mathcal{L}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\mathcal{L}_{\sigma^n}^{\otimes n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

form a right ample sequence. By [Kee00, Theorem 1.2], this is true if and only if the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bimodules $\{(\mathcal{L}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ form a left ample sequence.

The fundamental example of a bimodule algebra is as follows.

Example 2.7. Let X be a projective scheme, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on X . We define the *twisted bimodule algebra of X , $\mathcal{L}$, and σ* to be

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{L}_n)_{\sigma^n}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an $(\mathcal{O}_X, \sigma)$ -graded bimodule algebra. Taking global sections of $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ gives the twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$.

All the bimodule algebras that we consider in this paper will be sub-bimodule algebras of twisted bimodule algebras.

Let $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ be surface data, as defined in the Introduction. Then one may form a bimodule algebra

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{T}_n)_{\sigma^n}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_0 := \mathcal{O}_X$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}^\sigma \cdots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}} \mathcal{C}^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{L}_n.$$

The ring $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ from the Introduction is just the section ring of $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$.

The algebras $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ are studied in the companion paper [Sie09], in slightly greater generality. The surface data defined here is known in that paper as surface data of exponent 1. We also caution that in [Sie09], we allow $\mathbb{D}$ to be transverse surface data if $\mathcal{L}$ is not σ -ample. We note that if $\mathbb{D}$ is transverse surface data, then $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ may have GK-dimension 3 or 5, since twisted homogeneous coordinate rings on surfaces may have GK-dimension 3 or 5.

We recall the main result of [Sie09]:

Theorem 2.8. ([Sie09, Theorem 4.13]) *Let $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ be surface data, where $\mathcal{L}$ is σ -ample. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ is noetherian;
- (2) $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ is noetherian;
- (3) $\mathbb{D}$ is transverse.

□

We will also use the following technical result from [Sie09].

Lemma 2.9. ([Sie09, Lemma 3.8(2)]) *Let $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ be surface data, and let $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is σ -ample, $\{\sigma^n \Omega\}$ is critically transverse, and that all points in the cosupport of $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{C}$ have dense orbits. Then the sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{T}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ is left and right ample.*

□

If $R = \bigoplus R_n$ is a graded ring, we denote the k 'th Veronese of R by $R^{(k)} := \bigoplus R_{nk}$; we use similar notation for graded bimodule algebras.

3. APPROXIMATING BIRATIONALLY COMMUTATIVE SURFACES IN CODIMENSION 1

Let R be a birationally commutative projective surface with function field K . To prove Theorem 1.10, we must construct surface data $\mathbb{D}$ that will correspond to R . We will approach the data $\mathbb{D}$ through successive approximations. In this section, we construct a smooth surface X , an automorphism σ of X , an effective curve Ω on X , and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X that capture some broad properties of the ring R . We refer to this data as *approximating R in codimension 1*.

Since by assumption, the graded quotient ring of R is isomorphic to $K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma]$, where σ is a $\mathbb{k}$ -automorphism of K and K necessarily has transcendence degree 2, we begin with a birational class of surfaces and a birational self-map of each surface. The central problem in constructing the surface data $\mathbb{D}$ is to find the correct surface X within this birational class. This will occupy us for virtually all of the paper.

We say that $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(K)$ is *geometric* if there is a projective surface X with $K \cong \mathbb{k}(X)$ such that σ is induced by an automorphism of X . We call such a pair (X, σ) a *model* for R . Not all automorphisms of fields of transcendence degree 2 have models; for example, by [DF01, Remark 7.3], the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$ of

$\mathbb{C}(x, y)$ is not geometric. Fortunately, in our situation a result of Rogalski ensures that there is a model (X, σ) for R . Results of Artin and Van den Bergh then allow us to get precise information on the numeric action of σ .

We define some terminology. If X is a projective scheme, we denote the group of Cartier divisors on X modulo numerical equivalence by $\text{NS}(X)$. We say that the automorphism σ of X is *numerically trivial* if the induced action of σ on $\text{NS}(X)$ is trivial; that is, if $\sigma D \equiv D$ for any Cartier divisor D on X , where $\equiv$ denotes numerical equivalence. We will say that an σ is *quasi-trivial* if there is some integer $r > 0$ so that σ^r is numerically trivial.

Theorem 3.1. (Rogalski, Artin-Van den Bergh) *Let $K/\mathbb{k}$ be a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree 2, and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(K)$. Then every locally finite $\mathbb{N}$ -graded domain R such that $Q_{\text{gr}}(R) \cong K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma]$ has the same GK-dimension $d \in \{3, 4, 5, \infty\}$. Moreover, $d \in \{3, 5\}$ if and only if σ is geometric, and $d = 3$ if and only if for any model (X, σ) for R , the automorphism σ is quasi-trivial.*

Proof. The first and second statements are [Rog07, Theorem 1.1]. Now suppose that σ is geometric, and let (X, σ) be a model for R . Let $P \in O(\text{NS}(X))$ be the matrix giving the numeric action of σ on $\text{NS}(X)$. By [Rog07, Theorem 7.1] and [Rog07, Lemma 2.12], all eigenvalues of P have modulus 1; now by [AV90, Lemma 5.3], the eigenvalues of P are all roots of unity. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample invertible sheaf on X . Then [AV90, Theorem 1.7] implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is σ -ample, and that the GK-dimension of $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$, which is equal to d , is 3 if and only if σ is quasi-trivial. $\square$

Suppose that X and X' are birationally equivalent surfaces; let σ , respectively σ' , be an automorphism of X , respectively X' . We say that σ and σ' are *conjugate* if there is a birational map $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ so that $\pi\sigma' = \sigma\pi$ (as birational maps). Rogalski and Stafford note that it is an easy consequence of the existence of resolutions of singularities for surfaces (see [Lip69]) that any geometric automorphism of a field of transcendence degree 2 is conjugate to an automorphism of a nonsingular surface.

Lemma 3.2. ([RS06, Lemma 6.2]) *If K is a finitely generated field of transcendence degree 2 over $\mathbb{k}$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(K)$ is a geometric automorphism of K , then there is a nonsingular surface X with $\mathbb{k}(X) = K$ such that σ is induced from an automorphism of X . In particular, if a birationally commutative projective surface has a model, it has a nonsingular model.* $\square$

We now establish notation for some geometric data determined by R . If X is a projective variety and $V \subset K = \mathbb{k}(X)$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space, we will denote the coherent subsheaf of the constant sheaf K on X generated by the elements of V by

$$V \cdot \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Since R has GK-dimension 3, Theorem 3.1 implies that there is a model (X, σ) for R . By Lemma 3.2, we may also, if we choose, assume that X is nonsingular. Replacing R by an appropriate Veronese subring, we may assume that $R_1 \neq 0$.

Assumption-Notation 3.3. *We assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with $R_1 \neq 0$. Let K be the function field of R and let (X, σ) be*

a model for R . Fix $z \neq 0 \in R_1$. For all $n \geq 0$, we define $\overline{R}_n := R_n \cdot z^{-n} \subset K$, so that

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{R}_n z^n \subset K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma].$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_n(X) := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$.

Example 3.4. Before beginning to work with our noncommutative ring R , suppose for a moment that $R = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$. We know, of course, that $R \cong B(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), 1) = B(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$ and that $\mathbb{P}^2 = \text{Proj } R$. However, we cannot construct $\text{Proj } R$ directly using noncommutative techniques. Instead, we will construct the defining data $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$ from the graded pieces of R .

The function field of R is $K = \mathbb{k}(x/z, y/z)$. Consider the model $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for K , where we think of X as Proj of the bigraded ring $\mathbb{k}[s, t][u, v]$. We will let $s/t = x/z$ and $u/v = y/z$ in K .

Let $\overline{R}_1 := R_1 z^{-1} \subset K$. Then

$$\overline{R}_1 = \mathbb{k} \cdot \frac{x}{z} \oplus \mathbb{k} \cdot \frac{y}{z} \oplus \mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k} \cdot \frac{sv}{tv} \oplus \mathbb{k} \cdot \frac{tu}{tv} \oplus \mathbb{k} \cdot \frac{tv}{tv}.$$

Let D be the divisor on X defined by the equation $tv = 0$. On X , the rational functions in $\overline{R}_1$ correspond to sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}(1, 1)$, and they generate

$$\overline{R}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{I}_{[1:0] \times [1:0]} \mathcal{O}_X(D).$$

We will modify X by blowing up the base locus of $\overline{R}_1 \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$.

Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X at $[1:0] \times [1:0]$. Let $E = \pi^{-1}([1:0] \times [1:0])$ be the exceptional locus of π , and let F_1 and F_2 be the strict transforms of $[1:0] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times [1:0]$ respectively. Then F_1 , F_2 and E are the three (-1) curves on $\tilde{X}$, and on $\tilde{X}$, the rational functions in $\overline{R}_1$ generate the invertible sheaf

$$\mathcal{L} := \overline{R}_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(F_1 + F_2 + E) \cong \mathcal{I}_E \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^* D).$$

One may check that R and the section ring $B(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L})$ are isomorphic. However, $\mathcal{L}$ is not ample. By the Nakai-Moishezon criterion [Har77, Theorem V.1.10], the failure of ampleness of $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to the existence of an effective curve C so that $(F_1 + F_2 + E) \cdot C \leq 0$. One checks that $(F_1 + F_2 + E) \cdot F_1 = (F_1 + F_2 + E) \cdot F_2 = 0$. That is, the curves F_1 and F_2 are contracted by the morphism defined by the base point free linear system $\overline{R}_1 \subseteq H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(F_1 + F_2 + E))$ on $\tilde{X}$. The image of $\tilde{X}$ under this morphism is, of course, $\mathbb{P}^2$, the “correct” model for R .

We now return to the setting of a noncommutative projective surface R . We assume Assumption-Notation 3.3. It is immediate that the bimodule

$$\mathcal{R}(X) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{R}_n(X))_{\sigma^n}$$

is in fact a graded $(\mathcal{O}_X, \sigma)$ -bimodule algebra, and of course $R \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{R}(X))$. While ultimately we wish to understand R , our fundamental technique will be to approach R by analyzing the bimodule algebra $\mathcal{R}(X)$ on a suitable model (X, σ) for R ; to construct X , we will mimic the steps carried out in Example 3.4.

For all $n \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{R}_n := \mathcal{R}_n(X)$. We have

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{R}_n \mathcal{R}_m^{\sigma^n} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n+m}$$

for all $n, m \geq 0$. Since R is an affine $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, there is some $r \geq 1$ such that for all $n > r$ we have:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{R}_n = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i}.$$

We introduce some notation and terminology on divisors associated to finite-dimensional vector spaces of rational functions; see [Laz04, Chapter 1] for a more detailed discussion.

Definition 3.7. If X is a normal projective variety and f is a rational function on X , we will denote its associated Weil divisor by $\operatorname{div}_X(f)$, or $\operatorname{div}(f)$ if X is understood. We note that if $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and $f \in \mathbb{k}(X)$, then $\operatorname{div}_X(f^\sigma) = \sigma^{-1} \operatorname{div}_X(f)$. For any finite dimensional $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space $V \subseteq K$, and for any normal projective model X for K , let $D^X(V)$ be the minimal Weil divisor D on X such that $\operatorname{div}_X(f) + D \geq 0$ for all $f \in V$. That is, $\mathcal{O}_X(D^X(V))$ is canonically isomorphic to the double dual $(V \cdot \mathcal{O}_X)^{**}$.

Now suppose that X is an arbitrary projective variety and let $K = \mathbb{k}(X)$. Let D be a Cartier divisor on X . We will denote the complete linear system $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ associated to D by $|D|$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is by definition a subsheaf of the constant sheaf K , elements of $|D|$ are rational functions on X .

Let $V \subset K$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space. Note that V may be contained in many complete linear systems. If $V \subseteq |D|$ for some Cartier divisor D , we define the image of the natural map

$$V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

to be the *base ideal of V with respect to D* . The closed subscheme of X that it defines is called the *base locus of V with respect to D* . We write it $\operatorname{Bs}^D(V)$. If $(V \cdot \mathcal{O}_X)^{**}$ is an invertible sheaf, then it corresponds to an effective Cartier divisor D with $V \subseteq |D|$. This is the minimal such D , and in this situation we refer to the base ideal (respectively base locus) of V with respect to D simply as the *base ideal of V* (respectively, the *base locus of V*). We write the base locus of V as $\operatorname{Bs}(V)$.

If the base locus of the complete linear system $|D|$ is empty, so $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is globally generated, we say that D and $|D|$ are *base point free*.

If X is nonsingular or X is normal and $D^X(V)$ is Cartier, then the base ideal and base locus of V are always defined. Note that in either situation, the base locus of V has codimension at least 2.

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a normal surface and let $K := \mathbb{k}(X)$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$, and let $V, W \subseteq K$ be finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}$ -vector spaces.*

- (1) $D^X(VW) = D^X(V) + D^X(W)$.
- (2) For every n , $D^X(V^{\sigma^n}) = \sigma^{-n}(D^X(V))$.

Proof. (1) For any $f \in V$ and $g \in W$, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_X(fg) + D^X(V) + D^X(W) = \operatorname{div}_X(f) + \operatorname{div}_X(g) + D^X(V) + D^X(W) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$(3.9) \quad D^X(V) + D^X(W) \geq D^X(VW).$$

Now fix $f \in V$. For any $g \in W$, we have $D^X(VW) + \operatorname{div}_X(f) + \operatorname{div}_X(g) \geq 0$. Thus $D^X(VW) + \operatorname{div}_X(f) \geq D^X(W)$. As this holds for any $f \in V$, we obtain that

$$(3.10) \quad D^X(VW) - D^X(W) \geq D^X(V).$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we have proved (1).

(2) is a consequence of the equality $\operatorname{div}_X(f^\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}\operatorname{div}_X(f)$. $\square$

Let (X, σ) be a normal model for R . We can now define data that determine R in codimension 1, as follows.

Assumption-Notation 3.11. *Assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with $R_1 \neq 0$. Let K be the function field of R and let (X, σ) be a normal model for R . Fix $z \neq 0 \in R_1$. Let $\overline{R}_n := R_n \cdot z^{-n}$ and let $\mathcal{R}_n := \mathcal{R}_n(X) := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

For all $n \geq 0$, let $D_n := D^X(\mathcal{R}_n)$. If $n < 0$, let $D_n := 0$. If D_n is Cartier for all $n \geq 1$ (for example, if X is nonsingular), then for $n \geq 1$ we further let $\mathcal{I}_n$ be the base ideal of $\overline{R}_n$ and let W_n be the base locus of $\overline{R}_n$.

The following purely combinatorial lemma is a restatement of results of Artin and Stafford on the combinatorics of divisors on smooth curves.

Lemma 3.12. (Artin-Stafford) *Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/(k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (possibly $k = 0$). Let M be the free abelian group on the generating set $\{P_i \mid i \in A\}$; define a partial order $\geq$ on M by saying that $E \geq 0$ if $E = \sum n_i P_i$ where $n_i \geq 0$ for all i . Define an automorphism σ of M by $\sigma(P_i) = P_{i+1}$.*

Suppose there is a sequence of elements $\{E_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in M satisfying:

- (i) $E_i \geq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$, and $E_i = 0$ if $i < 0$.
- (ii) *There exists an integer r such that*

$$E_n = \sup_{i=1}^r (E_i + \sigma^{-i} E_{n-i})$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Then:

(1) *If $k = 0$, so $A = \mathbb{Z}$, there is an element $\Psi \geq 0 \in M$ and an integer $t \geq 0$ such that*

$$E_{m+n} = E_m + \sigma^{-m}(E_n) + \sigma^{-m}(\Psi)$$

for all $m, n \geq t$.

(2) *If $k = 1$, then there is an integer ℓ so that $E_{n\ell} = nE_\ell$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. (1) is [AS95, Corollary 2.12]. (2) is [AS95, Lemma 2.7]. $\square$

Lemma 3.13. *Assume Assumption-Notation 3.11. Then there are Weil divisors $0 \leq \Omega \leq D$ on X and an integer $k \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$(3.14) \quad D_{kn} = D + \sigma^{-k}D + \dots + \sigma^{-k(n-1)}D - \Omega,$$

and so that no irreducible component of Ω is fixed by any power of σ . Furthermore,

$$(3.15) \quad D_{(n+m)k} = D_{nk} + \sigma^{-nk}(D_{mk}) + \sigma^{-nk}(\Omega)$$

for all $n, m \geq 1$.

Proof. We note that it suffices to prove the lemma for a Veronese subring of R ; it then holds for R by changing k and D .

We claim that for all $n, m \geq 0$ we have

$$(3.16) \quad D_{n+m} \geq D_n + \sigma^{-n}D_m$$

and that there is $r \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.17) \quad D_n = \sup_{i=1}^r (D_i + \sigma^{-i}(D_{n-i})).$$

To see this, fix $m, n \geq 0$. Let $D' := D^X(\overline{R}_n(\overline{R}_m)^{\sigma^n})$. By Lemma 3.8, $D' = D^X(\overline{R}_n) + \sigma^{-n}D^X(\overline{R}_m)$. Because $\overline{R}_n(\overline{R}_m^{\sigma^n}) \subseteq \overline{R}_{n+m}$, we have that $D_{n+m} \geq D'$. This gives (3.16). Because $1 \in \overline{R}_1$, we have $D_{n+1} \geq D_n$ for all n . Let $r \geq 1$ be such that for all $n \geq r$, we have $R_n = \sum_{i=1}^r R_i R_{n-i}$. Then (3.17) follows.

Let $\text{WDiv}(X)$ denote the group of Weil divisors on X . Equation (3.17) implies that there are only finitely many σ -orbits of prime divisors in $\text{WDiv}(X)$ on which some D_n is nonzero. In particular, there are only finitely many such σ -orbits that are finite. Thus for some ℓ , each σ^ℓ -orbit of $\text{WDiv}(X)$ on which some $D_{n\ell}$ is nonzero is either infinite or consists of one element. Without loss of generality, we may replace R by $R^{(\ell)}$ (which is still finitely generated) and assume that all curves of finite order that appear in some D_n are σ -invariant.

Let $E \in \text{WDiv}(X)$ be a σ -invariant irreducible curve such that some $D_n \geq E$. There are only finitely many such E . Let $E_n := D_n|_E$. Equations (3.16) and (3.17) imply that $\{E_n\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.12, with $k = 1$. Thus, by Lemma 3.12(2), there is an integer $m \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$D_{nm}|_E = n(D_m|_E).$$

If $E \in \text{WDiv}(X)$ is of finite order under σ but not σ -invariant, then

$$D_{nm}|_E = 0 = n(D_m|_E)$$

for all n . Thus, by replacing R by $R^{(m)}$, we may assume that

$$D_n|_E = n(D_1|_E)$$

for all irreducible curves E that are of finite order under σ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\{P^1, \dots, P^s\}$ be irreducible generators of the finitely many distinct infinite σ -orbits in $\text{WDiv}(X)$ on which some D_n is nonzero. Fix $1 \leq i \leq s$, and let M be the subgroup of $\text{WDiv}(X)$ generated by $\{\sigma^n(P^i)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $E_n := D_n|_M$. As before, $\{E_n\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.12. Thus there exist t and Ψ as in the statement of Lemma 3.12(1). By varying i , we obtain integers $t^1, \dots, t^s$ and divisors $\Psi^1, \dots, \Psi^s$, with Ψ^i supported on $\{\sigma^n P^i\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $k = \max\{t^i\}$ and let $\Omega = \Psi^1 + \dots + \Psi^s$. By construction, Ω contains no components of finite order under σ , and

$$(3.18) \quad D_{m+n} = D_m + \sigma^{-m}(D_n) + \sigma^{-m}(\Omega)$$

for all $n, m \geq k$. Define $D := D_k + \Omega$. Then (3.15) holds for all $n, m \geq 1$, and an easy induction shows that (3.14) holds for all $n \geq 1$. $\square$

Definition 3.19. Assume Assumption-Notation 3.3; in particular, fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$. Let (X, σ) be a normal model for R . Let $D_n := D^X(\overline{R}_n)$. If there are effective Weil divisors D and Ω on X and an integer k so that (3.14) and (3.15) hold for all $n, m \gg 0$, we follow the terminology of [AS95] and say that Ω is a *gap divisor for R on X associated to z* (or more briefly a *gap divisor for R on X*). We say that D is a *coordinate divisor for R on X (associated to z)*.

Note that Ω is a gap divisor for R associated to z if and only if it is a gap divisor associated to z^n for some (or all) $R^{(n)}$. Further, this gap divisor is unique (at least up to choice of z).

Lemma 3.20. Assume Assumption-Notation 3.11. For a fixed $z \neq 0 \in \overline{R}_1$, there is exactly one Weil divisor Ω that is a gap divisor for R associated to z .

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, there is a gap divisor Ω for R on X associated to z . Suppose that there are Weil divisors Ω and Ω' so that for some $k, k' \geq 1$ we have

$$D_{k(n+m)} - D_{kn} - \sigma^{-kn}(D_{km}) = \sigma^{-kn}(\Omega)$$

and

$$D_{k'(n+m)} - D_{k'n} - \sigma^{-k'n}(D_{k'm}) = \sigma^{-k'n}(\Omega')$$

for all $n, m \geq 1$. Then

$$\sigma^{-kk'}(\Omega) = D_{2kk'} - D_{kk'} - \sigma^{-kk'}(D_{kk'}) = \sigma^{-k'k}(\Omega').$$

Thus $\Omega = \Omega'$. $\square$

Initially, it will be more convenient to work on a nonsingular model for R . By Lemma 3.13 and Theorem 3.1, we may replace R by a Veronese subring to assume without loss of generality that we are in the following situation:

Assumption-Notation 3.21. *Assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with $R_1 \neq 0$. Let K be the function field of R and assume that there is a nonsingular model (X, σ) for R so that σ is numerically trivial. As usual, we will identify Weil and Cartier divisors. Fix $z \neq 0 \in R_1$. Let $\overline{R}_n := R_n \cdot z^{-n}$ and let $\mathcal{R}_n := \mathcal{R}_n(X) := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ for all $n \geq 0$. For all $n \geq 0$, let $D_n := D^X(\mathcal{R}_n)$. If $n < 0$, let $D_n := 0$. Let $\mathcal{I}_n$ be the base ideal of $\overline{R}_n$ and let W_n be the base locus of $\overline{R}_n$. By definition, W_n is 0-dimensional.*

Further assume that there are a gap divisor Ω and a coordinate divisor D associated to z so that (3.14) and (3.15) hold with $k = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and that $\Omega \cap \sigma^k \Omega$ is finite for all $k \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{n-1}}$. For $n \geq 0$, define

$$\Delta_n := D + \cdots + \sigma^{-(n-1)}D,$$

so $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_n)$.

Our assumptions imply that

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{I}_n (\Delta_n - \Omega)$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

We note that if Assumption-Notation 3.21 holds for R , then it holds for any Veronese $R^{(n)}$ of R , by replacing σ by σ^n . (The effect of this change is to also replace D by Δ_n .) Also note that in the setting of Assumption-Notation 3.21, we may regard R as a subring of $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma) \cong \bigoplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_n) z^n$, even if $\mathcal{L}$ is not ample or σ -ample. That is, elements of $\overline{R}_n$ correspond to global sections of $\mathcal{L}_n$. We will make this identification throughout the rest of the paper.

4. POINTS OF FINITE ORDER

The model X that we chose for R was picked arbitrarily within its birational class. To begin improving our approximation, we show in this section that we can blow up X finitely many times to remove any points of finite order in the base loci of the rational functions $\overline{R}_n$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume Assumption-Notation 3.21. Then there is a finite set V so that W_n is supported on $V \cup \cdots \cup \sigma^{-(n-1)}(V)$ for all $n \geq 1$. In fact, we may take*

$$(4.2) \quad V = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup (\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \sigma^{-2}\Omega).$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{I}_n$ is the base ideal of the vector space $\overline{R}_n$ of rational functions. For all $m, n \geq 0$, the equation

$$\mathcal{R}_n \mathcal{R}_m^{\sigma^n} = \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{I}_\Omega^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{I}_m^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{L}_{n+m} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n+m} = \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{I}_{n+m} \mathcal{L}_{n+m}$$

gives a set-theoretic containment

$$(4.3) \quad W_{n+m} \subseteq W_n \cup \sigma^{-n}(W_m) \cup \sigma^{-n}(\Omega).$$

Define V as in (4.2). Our assumptions imply that V is finite.

Assume that for all $j \leq n$, we have $W_j \subseteq V \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{-(j-1)}(V)$. By construction, this is true for $n = 2$. For $n > 2$, it follows from (4.3) that

$$W_{n+1} \subseteq (W_1 \cup \sigma^{-1}W_n \cup \sigma^{-1}\Omega) \cap (W_2 \cup \sigma^{-2}W_{n-1} \cup \sigma^{-2}\Omega).$$

By induction, therefore,

$$W_{n+1} \subseteq V \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{-n}V \cup (\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \sigma^{-2}\Omega) = V \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{-n}V.$$

□

We give an elementary lemma on how base ideals transform under birational projective morphisms.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism of projective varieties, and let D be an effective (Cartier) divisor on X . Let $V \subseteq |D|$. Then the base ideal of V on X' with respect to π^*D is the expansion to X' of the base ideal of V on X with respect to D . If X and X' are normal, $D^X(V)$ is Cartier, and the indeterminacy locus of π^{-1} consists of smooth points of X , then*

$$\pi^*D^X(V) - D^{X'}(V)$$

is effective and supported on the exceptional locus of π .

Proof. Note that the elements of V are also elements of the linear system $|\pi^*D|$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the base ideal of V with respect to D . Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the base ideal of V on X' with respect to π^*D ; that is, the image of the natural map

$$V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\pi^*D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}.$$

Pulling back the surjection

$$V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$$

to X' , we obtain a surjection

$$V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\pi^*D) \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{I}.$$

Composing this with the natural map from $\pi^*\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$, we obtain the map

$$V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\pi^*D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$$

defining $\mathcal{J}$. The image of $\pi^*\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is precisely $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X'}$; that is, $\mathcal{J}$ is the expansion of $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$.

Suppose now that X and X' are normal, $D^X(V)$ is Cartier, and the indeterminacy locus of π^{-1} consists of smooth points of X . Let $F := D^X(V)$, and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the base ideal of V on X . Then by the above,

$$V \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X'} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X'}(\pi^*F),$$

and so $D^{X'}(V) = \pi^*F - C$ for some effective Weil divisor C contained in the subscheme of X' defined by $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Thus C is supported on the exceptional locus of π . □

We now begin the process of modifying X to remove points of finite order from the base loci W_n . We will do this through a series of blowups at finite orbits, and we begin by studying the effect of blowing up on the gap divisor Ω .

Lemma 4.5. *Assume Assumption-Notation 3.21; in particular, fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$, which we will use to calculate gap divisors, and let Ω be the gap divisor of R on X .*

(1) *Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X at a finite σ -orbit. Then the gap divisor of R on $\tilde{X}$ is the strict transform of Ω .*

(2) *There are a nonsingular projective variety X' and a birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ so that there is an automorphism σ' of X' with $\pi\sigma' = \sigma\pi$, and so that the gap divisor of R on X' contains no points of finite order under σ' . That is, by changing our smooth model X , without loss of generality we may assume that Ω contains no points of finite order.*

Proof. (1) By assumption,

$$(4.6) \quad D_n + \sigma^{-n}D_m + \sigma^{-n}\Omega = D_{n+m}$$

for all $n, m \geq 1$. For all $n \geq 1$, let $F_n := D^{\tilde{X}}(\overline{R}_n)$; let $\mathcal{J}_n$ be the base ideal of $\overline{R}_n$ on $\tilde{X}$, so

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{R}_n(\tilde{X})(-F_n) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.$$

Note $\tilde{X}$ is also nonsingular. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the automorphism of $\tilde{X}$ that lifts σ . By Lemma 3.13, let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the gap divisor of R on $\tilde{X}$. All components of $\tilde{\Omega}$ are of infinite order under $\tilde{\sigma}$ by Lemma 3.13, and there is some k so that

$$(4.7) \quad F_{nk} + \tilde{\sigma}^{-nk}F_{mk} + \tilde{\sigma}^{-nk}\tilde{\Omega} = F_{(n+m)k}$$

for all $n, m \gg 0$.

For all $n \geq 0$, let $E_n := \pi^*D_n - F_n$. By Lemma 4.4, E_n is effective and supported on the exceptional locus of π . Pulling back (4.6) to $\tilde{X}$, we obtain that

$$\pi^*D_n + \tilde{\sigma}^{-n}(\pi^*D_m) + \tilde{\sigma}^{-n}(\pi^*\Omega) = \pi^*(D_{n+m})$$

for all $n, m \geq 1$. Comparing this to (4.7), we see that

$$E_{nk} + \tilde{\sigma}^{-nk}(E_{mk}) + \tilde{\sigma}^{-nk}(\pi^*\Omega - \tilde{\Omega}) = E_{(n+m)k}$$

for all $n, m \geq 0$. Thus $\pi^*\Omega - \tilde{\Omega}$ is supported on the exceptional locus of π . All its components are thus of finite order under $\tilde{\sigma}$; as $\tilde{\Omega}$ contains no components of finite order under $\tilde{\sigma}$, we see that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the strict transform of Ω .

(2) Suppose that Ω contains a point p of finite order, and let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X at the orbit of p . Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the automorphism of $\tilde{X}$ conjugate to σ . Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the gap divisor of R on $\tilde{X}$. By (1), $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the strict transform of Ω .

Note that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is still quasi-trivial. Thus we may choose k so that $\tilde{\sigma}^k$ is numerically trivial. By assumption, $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\sigma}^k\tilde{\Omega}$ is finite. Then we have:

$$(4.8) \quad \Omega^2 > (\tilde{\Omega})^2 = \tilde{\Omega} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}^k(\tilde{\Omega}) \geq 0.$$

If $\tilde{\Omega}$ contains any points of finite order, we may repeat this process and reduce $(\tilde{\Omega})^2$ further. Since (4.8) shows that the gap divisor always has non-negative self-intersection, this process must terminate after finitely many steps. That is, after finitely many steps we obtain a gap divisor containing no points of finite order. $\square$

We are ready to prove that there is some model of R for which all the sets W_n — that is, all the base loci of $\overline{R}_n$ — consist of points of infinite order. Before doing so, we recall some terminology from commutative algebra. Let (S, M) be a regular local ring of dimension 2, and let I be an M -primary ideal of S . Recall [Eis95, Section 12.1] that the *Hilbert-Samuel function* of S with respect to I is defined as

$$H_I(n) = \text{len } I^n / I^{n+1}.$$

Recall further [Eis95, Exercise 12.6] that the *multiplicity* of I , written $e(I)$, is defined as

$$e(I) = (2!) \cdot (\text{the leading coefficient of } H_I).$$

This is a positive integer that may be defined more geometrically as follows: let $a, b \in I$ be a regular sequence. Then $e(I)$ is the intersection multiplicity of two general members of the ideal $aS + bS$.

Now let X be a nonsingular surface and let Z be a 0-dimensional subscheme of X . We define the *multiplicity* $e(Z)$ of Z to be the sum of the multiplicities of the defining ideal of Z at all points in $\text{Supp}(Z)$. By definition, $e(Z) \geq 0$, and $e(Z) = 0$ if and only if $Z = \emptyset$. Let $p \in Z$ and let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X at p ; let $Z' \subset X'$ be the strict transform of Z . The identification of $e(Z)$ with an intersection multiplicity shows that $e(Z')$ is strictly less than $e(Z)$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let R be a birationally commutative surface with $R_1 \neq 0$. There is a smooth model (X, σ) for some Veronese $R^{(r)}$ of R so that σ is numerically trivial, the gap divisor of $R^{(r)}$ on X contains no points of finite order, and so that for all $n \geq 1$ the base locus of $\overline{R}_{nr}$ on X is supported on points of infinite order.*

Proof. Choose a smooth model (X, σ) for R . By Lemma 3.13, by replacing R by a Veronese subring, we may assume that we are in the situation of Assumption-Notation 3.21. By Lemma 4.5, by changing X and possibly replacing R by a further Veronese (to ensure that Assumption-Notation 3.21 still holds), we may further assume that Ω contains no points of finite order.

Let M be such that R and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(X)$ are generated in degrees $\leq M$. If there is some $1 \leq i \leq M$ such that W_i contains a point p of finite order under σ , replace X by the blowup of X at the orbit of p . As $e(W_i)$ is reduced each time, continuing finitely many times, we may assume that there is a surface $\tilde{X}$ with a morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\tilde{X}$, so that for $i = 1 \dots M$ the base locus of $\overline{R}_i$ on $\tilde{X}$ contains no points of finite order.

One would hope that for $i > M$ the base locus of $\overline{R}_i$ on $\tilde{X}$ also contains no points of finite order. This is unfortunately not necessarily true; however, by appealing to commutative algebra we can show that we can remove points of finite order by a further blowup. We establish some notation. For all $n \geq 1$, let $F_n := D^{\tilde{X}}(\overline{R}_n)$, and let $\mathcal{J}_n := \mathcal{R}_n(\tilde{X})(-F_n)$ be the base ideal of $\overline{R}_n$ on $\tilde{X}$. We caution that (3.14) and (3.15) may not hold for the F_i with $k = 1$, although they do, of course, hold for some k . By Lemma 4.4, for all $n \geq 1$ the divisor

$$E_n := \pi^* D_n - F_n = \pi^* \Delta_n - \pi^* \Omega - F_n$$

is effective and supported on the exceptional locus of π . In particular, all components of any E_n are of finite order under σ . By Lemma 4.5(1), the gap divisor of R on $\tilde{X}$ is equal to $\pi^* \Omega$.

The bimodule algebra $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{X})$ on $\tilde{X}$ is still generated in degrees $\leq M$. That is,

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{J}_n(F_n) = \mathcal{R}_n(\tilde{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{R}_i(\tilde{X}) \mathcal{R}_{n-i}(\tilde{X})^{\tilde{\sigma}^i}$$

for all $n \geq M$. We may rewrite (4.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_n \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\pi^* \Omega - E_n + \pi^* \Delta_n) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{J}_i \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\pi^* \Omega - E_i + \pi^* \Delta_i) \cdot \mathcal{J}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\sigma}^{-i}(-\pi^* \Omega - E_{n-i} + \pi^* \Delta_{n-i})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq M$. Subtracting $\pi^*(\Delta_n - \Omega)$ from both sides, we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{J}_n \mathcal{I}_{E_n} = \mathcal{J}_n \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_n) = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{J}_i \mathcal{J}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_i - \tilde{\sigma}^{-i}(E_{n-i} + \pi^* \Omega)).$$

for all $n \geq M$.

For all n , let $\mathcal{K}_n$ be the minimal ideal sheaf on $\tilde{X}$ that contains $\mathcal{J}_n$ and is cosupported at points on the exceptional locus of π ; this exists because $\mathcal{J}_n$ is coartinian. Since Ω does not contain any fundamental points of π^{-1} , by restricting (4.11) to the exceptional locus of π we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_n \mathcal{I}_{E_n} = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{K}_i \mathcal{K}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_i - \tilde{\sigma}^{-i} E_{n-i}) = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{K}_i \mathcal{K}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} \mathcal{I}_{E_i} \mathcal{I}_{E_{n-i}}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i}$$

for all $n \geq M$.

For all n , let $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_n$ be the minimal ideal sheaf on $\tilde{X}$ containing $\mathcal{K}_n$ and cosupported at points of finite order. Now, there is some k so that the ideal sheaf $\sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{I}_{E_i} \mathcal{I}_{E_{n-i}}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i}$ is $\tilde{\sigma}^k$ -invariant, as all E_i are of finite order under $\tilde{\sigma}$. This implies that

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \mathcal{I}_{E_n} = \sum_{i=1}^M \hat{\mathcal{K}}_i \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} \mathcal{I}_{E_i} \mathcal{I}_{E_{n-i}}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i}$$

for all $n \geq M$. But for $1 \leq i \leq M$, the base locus of $\overline{R}_i$ on $\tilde{X}$ contains no points of finite order, and so $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. Thus

$$(4.12) \quad \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \mathcal{I}_{E_n} = \sum_{i=1}^M \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} \mathcal{I}_{E_i} \mathcal{I}_{E_{n-i}}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i}$$

for $n \geq M$. Let ℓ be such that $\tilde{\sigma}^\ell$ fixes all irreducible exceptional curves and so all components of $E_1, \dots, E_M$. Then (4.12) and an easy induction imply that for all n , $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_n$ is $\tilde{\sigma}^\ell$ -invariant.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the graded $(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{\sigma}^\ell)$ -bimodule algebra defined by

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{S}_n)_{\tilde{\sigma}^{n\ell}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n\ell} \mathcal{I}_{E_{n\ell}}.$$

As all $\mathcal{S}_n$ are $\tilde{\sigma}^\ell$ -invariant, $\mathcal{S}$ may be given the structure of a sheaf of (commutative) graded algebras (i.e., a commutative bimodule algebra) on $\tilde{X}$. Call this bimodule algebra $\mathcal{S}_c$. Since $R^{(\ell)}$ is finitely generated, there is $N \geq 1$ so that

$$\mathcal{R}_{n\ell}(\tilde{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{R}_{i\ell}(\tilde{X}) \mathcal{R}_{(n-i)\ell}(\tilde{X}) \tilde{\sigma}^{i\ell}$$

for all $n \geq N$. Restricting to the exceptional locus of π and to finite orbits, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{S}_i \mathcal{S}_{n-i}^{\tilde{\sigma}^{i\ell}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{S}_i \mathcal{S}_{n-i}$$

for all $n \geq N$, and so $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}_c$ are finitely generated. An easy globalization of [Bou98, Section III.1.3, Proposition 3]) shows that there is some $e \geq 1$ so that $\mathcal{S}_c^{(e)}$ is generated in degree 1. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}^{(e)}$ is also generated in degree 1.

That is,

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n\ell e} \mathcal{I}_{E_{n\ell e}} = \mathcal{S}_{ne} = (\mathcal{S}_e)^n = (\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell e} \mathcal{I}_{E_{\ell e}})^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$. As $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell e}$ is $\tilde{\sigma}^\ell$ -invariant, we may resolve it by a sequence of point blowups at finite $\tilde{\sigma}$ -orbits. We obtain a nonsingular surface X' with a birational morphism $\psi : X' \rightarrow X$ so that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is conjugate to an automorphism σ' of X' and so that the expansion of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell e}$ to X' is invertible. Thus the expansion of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n\ell e}$ to X' is invertible for all $n \geq 1$.

Recall that $\mathcal{J}_n$ is the base ideal of $\overline{R}_n$ on $\tilde{X}$. For all n , there is an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{C}_n$ so that

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \mathcal{C}_n.$$

Necessarily, $\mathcal{C}_n$ is cosupported at points of infinite order. Let Z_n be the subscheme of $\tilde{X}$ defined by $\mathcal{C}_n$. Lemma 4.4 implies that the base locus of $\overline{R}_{n\ell e}$ on X' is $\psi^{-1}(Z_{n\ell e})$, as the expansion of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n\ell e}$ to X' is invertible. This contains no points of finite order for any $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.5, the gap divisor of R on X' contains no points of finite order under σ' . $\square$

5. AN AMPLE MODEL FOR R

Let (X, σ) be a model for R . If a coordinate divisor of R on X is σ -ample, we refer to X or to the pair (X, σ) as an *ample model* for R . The goal of this section is to show that a normal ample model for R exists.

We begin by giving the σ -twisted versions of some results about big and nef divisors. Recall that we denote linear equivalence of divisors by $\sim$ and numerical equivalence by $\equiv$. If D is a divisor on X and $m \geq 1$, let $\Delta_m := D + \sigma^{-1}D + \cdots + \sigma^{-(m-1)}D$.

Recall that a divisor D on a projective surface X is *big* if

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD)) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))$$

grows as $O(n^2)$, and D is *nef* if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any curve C on X . We refer the reader to [Laz04] for the basic properties of big and nef divisors.

Definition 5.1. Let σ be a quasi-trivial automorphism of the projective surface X . We say that a divisor D is σ -*big* if $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_n))$ grows at least as $O(n^2)$.

We note that if (X, σ) is a normal model for R and D is a coordinate divisor for R on X , then D is σ -big by assumption on the GK-dimension of R .

Lemma 5.2. (Kodaira's Lemma; cf. [Laz04, Proposition 2.2.6]) *Let σ be a quasi-trivial automorphism of a smooth projective surface X , and let D be a σ -big divisor on X . Let F be an effective divisor on X . Then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - F)) \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large m .*

Proof. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - F)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) \xrightarrow{\phi_m} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(\Delta_m)).$$

As a divisor on a smooth surface, F is a local complete intersection. Thus Riemann-Roch [Har77, Ex IV.1.9] implies that there are constants n and c such that if E is a divisor on X with $E.F \geq n$, then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(E)) = E.F + c$. Since σ is quasi-trivial, $\Delta_m.F$ grows no faster than $O(m)$, and thus $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(\Delta_m))$ grows no faster than $O(m)$. Since D is σ -big, for $m \gg 0$ we have that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) > h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(\Delta_m))$ and therefore the map $\phi_m : H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(\Delta_m))$ must have a kernel. This gives a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - F)$. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. (cf. [Laz04, Corollary 2.2.7]) *Let σ be a quasi-trivial automorphism of the smooth projective surface X , and let D be a σ -big divisor on X . Let A be an ample divisor on X . Then there is some $m > 0$ and some effective divisor N on X such that $\Delta_m \sim A + N$.*

Proof. Choose r such that $(r+1)A$ and rA are both effective. Using Lemma 5.2, choose m such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - (r+1)A)) \neq 0$. Thus there is some effective N' with

$$\Delta_m - (r+1)A \sim N'.$$

That is, $\Delta_m \sim A + (rA + N')$. Since rA and N' are both effective, the theorem is proved for $N = rA + N'$. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. (Wilson's Theorem; cf. [Laz04, Theorem 2.3.9]) *Let σ be a quasi-trivial automorphism of the smooth projective surface X , and let D be a σ -big and nef divisor on X . Then there are an effective divisor N and an integer $m_0 > 0$ such that for every $m \geq m_0$, both $\Delta_m - N$ and $\Delta_m - \sigma^{-(m-m_0)}(N)$ are base point free.*

Proof. Fujita's Theorem [Laz04, Theorem 1.4.35, Remark 1.4.36] gives a very ample divisor B such that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(B + P)) = 0$ for all nef P and for all $i \geq 1$. Note that the same property holds for all $\sigma^n B$. Corollary 5.3 implies that there is some $m_0 > 0$ so that $\Delta_{m_0} \sim 3B + N$ for N effective. Then for $m \geq m_0$,

$$\Delta_m - N \sim 3B + \sigma^{-m_0} \Delta_{m-m_0}.$$

Now the cone of nef divisors is σ -invariant. As D is nef, $\sigma^{-m_0} \Delta_{m-m_0}$ is also nef. Thus

$$\Delta_m - N \sim B + 2B + \text{nef}.$$

Our assumption on B implies that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - N - iB)) = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$. By [Laz04, Theorem 1.85], $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - N)$ is globally generated.

Similarly,

$$\Delta_m - \sigma^{-(m-m_0)} N \sim \Delta_{m-m_0} + 3\sigma^{-(m-m_0)} B,$$

and so $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - \sigma^{-(m-m_0)} N - i\sigma^{-(m-m_0)} B)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. That is, $\Delta_m - \sigma^{-(m-m_0)} N$ is 0-regular with respect to the very ample divisor $\sigma^{-(m-m_0)} B$.

Applying [Laz04, Theorem 1.85] again, $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - \sigma^{-(m-m_0)}N)$ is globally generated. $\square$

We now begin to consider the rational maps to projective space defined by the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ and $|\Delta_n|$. We record here the elementary result that these are birational onto their image for $n \gg 0$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let R be a birationally commutative projective surface with function field $K := \mathbb{k}(X)$. Assume that $R_1 \neq 0$ and fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$. For some n , the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ generate K as a field and so induce a birational map of X onto its image.*

Proof. Let $f_1, \dots, f_k$ be rational functions that generate K . For each i , there are homogeneous elements a_i, b_i of some R_{n_i} so that $f_i = a_i b_i^{-1}$. By putting all the f_i over a common denominator, we may assume that there are some $c_1, \dots, c_k, b \in R_n$ with $f_i = c_i b^{-1}$ for all i . Thus $\overline{R}_n$ generates K . $\square$

By Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 5.5, we may pass to a further Veronese subring to strengthen our assumptions on R .

Assumption-Notation 5.6. *Assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with function field K so that $R_1 \neq 0$. Fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$, and define $\overline{R}_n := R_n z^{-n}$. Assume that $\overline{R}_1$ generates K as a field.*

Assume also that there is a nonsingular model (X, σ) for R so that σ is numerically trivial. Define $\mathcal{R}_n(X)$, D_n , $\mathcal{I}_n$, and W_n as in Assumption-Notation 3.11. Further assume that there are a gap divisor Ω and a coordinate divisor D associated to z so that (3.14) and (3.15) hold with $k = 1$ for all $n, m \geq 1$, and that $\Omega \cap \sigma^k \Omega$ is finite for all $k \neq 0$. We further assume that Ω and all W_n are disjoint from finite σ -orbits.

We continue to define $\Delta_n := D + \dots + \sigma^{-(n-1)}D$ and $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

We remark that if Assumption-Notation 5.6 holds for R , it holds for any Veronese $R^{(k)}$ of R , by replacing σ by σ^k and D by Δ_k .

Lemma 5.7. *Assume Assumption-Notation 5.6, so D is the coordinate divisor of R on X and σ is numerically trivial. Then Δ_n is big and nef for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that D is big and nef. Suppose that D is not nef. Then there is some effective curve C such that $C \cdot D < 0$. For $n \gg 0$ we have $(\Delta_n - \Omega) \cdot C = nD \cdot C - \Omega \cdot C < 0$. This implies that if $\Gamma \sim \Delta_n - \Omega$ is effective, then $C \leq \Gamma$; that is, C is contained in the base locus of $|\Delta_n - \Omega|$. But $\text{Bs}(|\Delta_n - \Omega|) \subseteq \text{Bs}(\overline{R}_n) = W_n$, and this is 0-dimensional. Thus D is nef.

By assumption on R , we know that D is σ -big. By Corollary 5.3, for some $n \geq 1$ we have $\Delta_n \sim A + F$, where A is ample and F is effective. Thus Δ_n is big by [Laz04, Corollary 2.2.7]. Since σ is numerically trivial and bigness is numeric [Laz04, Corollary 2.2.8], we see that nD and therefore D are big. $\square$

Theorem 5.8. *Assume Assumption-Notation 5.6. Then there is some k so that Δ_{nk} is base point free for $n \gg 0$.*

We note that if R is commutative (so $\Omega = 0$ and $\sigma = \text{Id}_X$), then this follows from Zariski's result [Zar62, Theorem 6.2] that if $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on a projective variety with a 0-dimensional base locus, then some tensor power of $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated.

Proof. For all n , let $Z_n := \text{Bs}^{\Delta_n}(|\Delta_n|)$. We want to show that for some k , $Z_{nk} = \emptyset$ for $n \gg 0$.

We first show that Z_n is 0-dimensional for $n \gg 0$. Let C_n be the 1-dimensional component of Z_n . The coordinate divisor D is σ -big by assumption, and nef by Lemma 5.7. By Lemma 5.4, we know that there is some effective N such that for all $m \gg 0$, both $\Delta_m - N$ and $\Delta_m - \sigma^{-(m-m_0)}N$ are base point free. Thus $C_m \subseteq N \cap \sigma^{m-m_0}N$ for all $m \gg 0$, and so for all $m \gg 0$, C_m is a union of components of N that are of finite order under σ . Now,

$$C_m \subseteq \text{Bs}^{\Delta_m}(|\Delta_m|) \subseteq \Omega \cup \text{Bs}(|\Delta_m - \Omega|).$$

As $\text{Bs}(|\Delta_m - \Omega|) \subseteq \text{Bs}(\overline{R}_n) = W_n$ is 0-dimensional, we also have that $C_m \leq \Omega$. Since Ω has no components of finite order, $C_m = \emptyset$ for $m \gg 0$.

By passing to a Veronese subring, and replacing D by some Δ_k and σ by σ^k , we may assume that Z_n is 0-dimensional for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\phi = \phi_{|D|}$ be the rational map from X to some $\mathbb{P}^N$ defined by the complete linear system $|D|$. Let Y be the closure of $\phi(X)$; we will abuse notation and refer to ϕ as a rational map from X to Y . Note that ϕ is birational by assumption, as $\overline{R}_1 \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ generates K .

By blowing up the finite base locus of $|D|$, we obtain a surface X' and a diagram of birational maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X' & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \phi' \\ X & \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \phi \text{---} \text{---} & Y \end{array}$$

such that π and ϕ' are morphisms. Let C be a reduced and irreducible hyperplane section of Y that avoids the finitely many points with positive-dimensional preimage in X or X' and does not contain any component of the singular locus of Y . Such C exist by Bertini's theorem and [Har77, Remark III.7.9.1]. Then $D' := \pi(\phi')^{-1}(C)$ is a reduced and irreducible curve that is linearly equivalent to D . Without loss of generality, we may replace D by D' and assume that D is reduced and irreducible.

We will show that $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)$ is globally generated for all $m \gg 0$. The proof is based on repeated applications of the following long exact cohomology sequence. Let B be an effective divisor on X and let A and A' be divisors such that $A' \sim A - B$. Then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(A') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(A) \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact cohomology sequence

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A')) &\rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_B(A)) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A')) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_B(A)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for all $m \geq 0$ there are homomorphisms

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sigma^{-1}(\Delta_m))) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_{m+1})) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D(\Delta_{m+1})).$$

Now D is irreducible and $D \cdot \Delta_m = mD^2$, as σ is numerically trivial. Since D is big and nef, $D^2 > 0$ by [Laz04, Theorem 2.2.16]. By Riemann-Roch, there is an integer m_0 such that if $m \geq m_0$, then $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\Delta_m)) = 0$. Thus if $m \geq m_0$ we have that $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) = h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sigma^{-1}\Delta_m)) \geq h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_{m+1}))$. Therefore, there is some $m_1 \geq m_0$ and some non-negative integer a such that if $m \geq m_1$, we have that

$$h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) = a.$$

Increasing m_1 further if necessary, we may also assume that if H is any divisor on X with $D \cdot H \geq m_1 D^2$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\sigma^j D}(H)$ is globally generated for any j and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^j D}(H)) = 0$.

Suppose that $m \geq 2m_1$. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)$ is globally generated; that is, $\text{Bs}(|\Delta_m|) = \emptyset$. Since $\text{Bs}(|\Delta_m|) \subseteq D \cup \sigma^{-1}(D) \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{-(m-1)}(D)$, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)$ is globally generated at every point in $\sigma^{-i}(D)$ for $i = 0 \dots m-1$.

We claim that for any such i , we have that

$$(5.10) \quad h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - \sigma^{-i}(D))) = a.$$

We will do the case when $m = 2m'$ for $m' \geq m_1$ and $i \leq m' - 1$; similar arguments work for other choices for m and i . For $j = 0 \dots i$, let

$$C_j := \Delta_m - \sigma^{-i}(D) - \dots - \sigma^{-j}(D).$$

Define $C_{i+1} := \Delta_m$. Thus for $j = 0 \dots i$, we have $C_j = C_{j+1} - \sigma^{-j}(D)$, and $C_{j+1} \geq \sigma^{-m'} \Delta_{m'}$. Thus $\sigma^{-j} D \cdot C_{j+1} \geq m_1 D^2$ and so by the choice of m_1 we have that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-j} D}(C_{j+1})) = 0$. Thus the long exact cohomology sequence (5.9) gives an exact sequence

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_j)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_{j+1})) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-j} D}(C_{j+1})) = 0.$$

We obtain that

$$h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_0)) \geq h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_1)) \geq \dots \geq h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_i)) \geq h^1(X, \Delta_m) = a.$$

Since $C_0 = \Delta_m - \Delta_{i+1} = \sigma^{-(i+1)}(\Delta_{m-i-1})$ and $m - i - 1 \geq m' \geq m_1$, we have that $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_0)) = a$. Thus $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_i)) = a$. The claim (5.10) is proved.

Now let $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ be arbitrary. As a special case of (5.9), we obtain the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - \sigma^{-i}(D))) &\rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-i}(D)}(\Delta_m)) \rightarrow \\ H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - \sigma^{-i}(D))) &\rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-i}(D)}(\Delta_m)). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption on m , we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-i} D}(\Delta_m)) = 0$, and we have seen that

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m - \sigma^{-i}(D))) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) = a.$$

Thus the map

$$\phi : H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_m)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-i}(D)}(\Delta_m))$$

is surjective. Since we have taken m sufficiently large so that $\mathcal{O}_{\sigma^{-i}(D)}(\Delta_m)$ is globally generated, $\text{Bs}(|\Delta_m|)$ must be disjoint from $\sigma^{-i}(D)$. Since this holds for all i , we see that $|\Delta_m|$ is base point free. $\square$

We are almost ready to construct the ample model for R . We first prove two lemmas about birational maps.

Lemma 5.11. *Let D be a Cartier divisor on a possibly singular projective variety X and let $V \subseteq |D|$ be a subspace of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $\phi = \phi_V$ be the rational map to $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ defined by V , and let Γ be an irreducible curve on X that is disjoint from the base locus of V with respect to D . Then ϕ contracts Γ if and only if $D \cdot \Gamma = 0$. Further, if ϕ contracts Γ , then for any $v \in V$, either v never vanishes on Γ or $v|_{\Gamma} \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that ϕ contracts Γ to a point. By making a linear change of coordinates, without loss of generality we may assume that $\phi(\Gamma) = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$. This is the same as choosing a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ of V such that $v_1|_\Gamma$ is never 0 and that $v_i|_\Gamma \equiv 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. In particular, the divisor of zeroes of v_1 is disjoint from Γ and so $D \cdot \Gamma = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $D \cdot \Gamma = 0$. Then choose distinct points $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $v \in V$. If $v(x) \neq 0$ but $v(y) = 0$ then we have that $\Gamma \cdot D > 0$; thus $v(y) = 0$ if and only if $v|_\Gamma \equiv 0$. Now, since Γ does not meet $\text{Bs}^D(V)$, there is some $v \in V$ such that $v(x) \neq 0$. We may choose a basis $\{v, v_2, \dots, v_d\}$ for V such that $v_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. By the above, in these coordinates $\phi(\Gamma) = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$. $\square$

We obtain as a corollary that any curve Γ such that $\Gamma \cdot \Delta_n = 0$ must be disjoint from the gap divisor Ω and from the base loci W_m .

Corollary 5.12. *Assume Assumption-Notation 5.6. Suppose that $|\Delta_n|$ is base point free. Let ϕ_n be the morphism to projective space defined by $|\Delta_n|$. If ϕ_n contracts an irreducible curve Γ , then there is some $f \in \overline{R}_n$ so that*

$$(\text{div}_X(f) + \Delta_n) \cap \Gamma = (\text{div}_X(f) + \Omega + (\Delta_n - \Omega)) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset.$$

In particular, $W_n \cup \Omega$ is disjoint from Γ .

Proof. As X is nonsingular, we may identify Cartier and Weil divisors. Lemma 5.11 implies that the set of irreducible curves contracted by ϕ_n is precisely the set of irreducible curves Γ with $\Gamma \cdot \Delta_n = 0$. As σ is numerically trivial, $\Gamma \cdot \Delta_n = 0$ if and only if $\sigma \Gamma \cdot \Delta_n = 0$. Thus the set of curves contracted by the morphism ϕ_n is σ -invariant.

By assumption ϕ_n is birational onto its image. Thus there are finitely many such curves and so all are of finite order under σ . In particular, if Γ is such a curve, then $\Gamma \not\subseteq \Omega$.

Fix an irreducible curve Γ with $\Delta_n \cdot \Gamma = 0$. As $\Gamma \not\subseteq \Omega \cup W_n$, we have some $f \in \overline{R}_n$ so that $\Gamma \not\subseteq \text{div}_X(f) + \Delta_n$. Thus $\Gamma \cap \text{div}_X(f) + \Delta_n = \emptyset$ by Lemma 5.11. Now, set-theoretically we have

$$\Omega \cup W_n = \bigcap_{g \in \overline{R}_n} \text{div}_X(g) + \Delta_n \subset \text{div}(f) + \Delta_n.$$

Thus $\Omega \cap \Gamma = W_n \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$. $\square$

Lemma 5.13. (Compare [AS95, Lemma 3.2].) *Let X be a variety, and let G_1, G_2 , and G_3 be effective (Cartier) divisors on X ; let $E := G_3 - G_1 - G_2$. For $i = 1 \dots 3$, let $U_i \subseteq |G_i|$ be a vector space of dimension at least 2, and suppose that $U_1 U_2 \subseteq U_3$. Let $\phi_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_i}$ be the rational map defined by the sections U_i of G_i and let Y_i be the closure of $\text{Im } \phi_i$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N_i}$. Further assume that $\phi_3 : X \rightarrow Y_3$ is birational. Then there is an induced rational map $\pi : Y_3 \rightarrow Y_1$ so that $\pi \phi_3 = \phi_1$ and so that if $x \notin \text{Bs}^{G_i}(U_i)$ for $i = 1 \dots 3$ and $x \notin E$, then π is defined at $\phi_3(x)$.*

Proof. We repeat the proof of [AS95, Lemma 3.2], to note that it works in our situation as well. As rational maps, $\pi = \phi_1(\phi_3)^{-1}$. Let $x \in X \setminus (E \cup \text{Bs}^{G_1}(U_1) \cup \text{Bs}^{G_2}(U_2) \cup \text{Bs}^{G_3}(U_3))$; then all the maps ϕ_i are defined at x . We may thus choose elements $u_0 \in U_1$ and $v \in U_2$ so that, locally at x , $G_1 = -\text{div}_X(u_0)$ and $G_2 = -\text{div}_X(v)$. Our assumptions imply that, locally at x , $G_3 = -\text{div}_X(u_0 v)$. Let $\{u_0, \dots, u_r\}$ be a basis for U_1 . Locally at x , ϕ_1 is defined by $[u_0 : \cdots : u_r]$; we may

also define it by $[u_0v : \cdots : u_rv]$. Then if $\{u_0v, \dots, u_rv, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_s\}$ is a basis for U_3 , then the rational map π is given by projection onto the first $r+1$ coordinates. This is defined locally at $\phi_3(x)$ by construction. $\square$

We have seen that the maps $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_n}$ defined by $|\Delta_n|$ are birational morphisms onto their image for $n \gg 0$. We now show that for $n \gg 0$, the image of this map gives a normal ample model for R , and that our assumptions on X continue to hold.

Theorem 5.14. *Assume Assumption-Notation 5.6. Then there are a normal projective surface X' , a birational morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow X'$, and an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}'$ on X' such that for some $k \geq 1$, σ^k is conjugate to a numerically trivial automorphism σ' of X' and $\theta^*(\mathcal{L}') \cong \mathcal{L}_k$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}'$ is σ' -ample.*

Further, the gap divisor of $R^{(k)}$ on X' is Cartier and contains no points or components of finite order. For all $n \geq 1$, the base locus of $\overline{R}_{nk}$ on X' contains no points of finite order.

Proof. For all n , let α_n be the rational map from X to some projective space given by $|\Delta_n|$; let X_n be the closure of the image of X under α_n . By Theorem 5.8, we may replace R by a Veronese subring to assume that $|\Delta_n|$ is base point free for all $n \geq 1$, so α_n is a birational morphism for all $n \geq 1$. Assumption-Notation 5.6 continues to hold.

For all n , we have $\Delta_n + \sigma^{-n}D = \Delta_{n+1}$ and $|\Delta_n| \cdot |\sigma^{-n}D| \subseteq |\Delta_{n+1}|$. Using Lemma 5.13 with $E = 0$, for each $n \geq 1$ we obtain a birational morphism $\pi_n : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} & X_{n+1} \\ & \searrow \alpha_n & \downarrow \pi_n \\ & & X_n \end{array}$$

commutes. Likewise, the equation $D + \sigma^{-1}\Delta_n = \Delta_{n+1}$ gives a birational morphism $\rho_n : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} & X_{n+1} \\ & \searrow \alpha_n \circ \sigma & \downarrow \rho_n \\ & & X_n \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let Γ be an irreducible curve on X . Then, as σ is numerically trivial,

$$\Delta_{n+1} \cdot \Gamma = (n+1)D \cdot \Gamma = \frac{n+1}{n} \Delta_n \cdot \Gamma,$$

so $\Delta_{n+1} \cdot \Gamma = 0$ if and only if $\Delta_n \cdot \Gamma = 0$. By Lemma 5.11, α_{n+1} and $\alpha_n = \pi_n \circ \alpha_{n+1}$ contract the same curves; thus $\pi_n : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ does not contract any curves and is a finite morphism. Likewise, ρ_n is a finite morphism. By finiteness of the integral closure, there is some k such that if $n \geq k$, then both π_n and ρ_n are isomorphisms.

Let $\overline{X} := X_k$, and let $\alpha = \alpha_k : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$. Define $\overline{\sigma} = (\rho_k \pi_k^{-1})^k$. Then $\overline{\sigma}$ is an automorphism of $\overline{X}$, and we have that

$$\overline{\sigma} \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \sigma^k.$$

Clearly $\overline{\sigma}$ is numerically trivial.

Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be the normalization of $\overline{X}$. Since X is normal by assumption, the morphism α factors through π — that is, there is a birational morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow X'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X' \\ & \searrow \alpha & \swarrow \pi \\ & \overline{X} & \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that if θ is finite at $x \in X$, then θ is a local isomorphism at x . By the universal property of normalizations, $\overline{\sigma}$ lifts uniquely to an automorphism σ' of X' , which is also numerically trivial.

By construction, $\overline{X}$ carries a very ample line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_k \cong \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_k) \cong \alpha^* \overline{\mathcal{L}} \cong \theta^* \pi^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}' = \pi^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}'$ is the pullback of an ample line bundle by a finite map and so is ample by [Har77, Exercise III.5.7(d)]. Further, $\mathcal{L}'$ is σ' -ample by [AV90, Theorem 1.7]. By the projection formula [Har77, Exercise II.5.1.(d)], $\theta_*(\mathcal{L}_k) \cong \mathcal{L}'$.

Let C be the union of the finitely many curves in X that are contracted by θ . Note that θ is an isomorphism from the open subset $X \setminus C$ of X onto an open subset of X' . Note also that, by Corollary 5.12, $\Omega \subseteq X \setminus C$. Let $\Omega' := \theta(\Omega)$ be the scheme-theoretic image of Ω . Thus Ω' is a Cartier divisor on X' .

Let D' be the Cartier divisor on X' corresponding to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}'$. The singular locus of X' consists of finitely many points, as X' is normal. Fix $n \geq 1$. By restricting the Weil divisor $D^{X'}(\overline{R}_{nk})$ to the open set where X' is smooth, we obtain that

$$D^{X'}(\overline{R}_{nk}) = D' + (\sigma')^{-1}(D') + \cdots + (\sigma')^{-(n-1)}(D') - \Omega'.$$

By Lemma 3.20, Ω' is the gap divisor of $R^{(k)}$ on X' associated to z . That Ω' contains no points or components of finite order follows directly from the corresponding properties for Ω .

Fix $n \geq 1$. We have seen that $D^{X'}(\overline{R}_{nk})$ is Cartier. Let Z_n be the base locus of $\overline{R}_{nk}$ on X' . Let $x \in X'$ be a point of finite order under σ' , and let Γ be an irreducible component of $\theta^{-1}(x)$. If Γ is a curve, then by Corollary 5.12, there is some $f \in \overline{R}_{nk}$ so that $\Gamma \cap (\operatorname{div}_X(f) + (\Delta_{nk} - \Omega)) = \emptyset$. If $\Gamma = \{p\}$ is a point, then it is of finite order, and so by assumption $p \notin W_n$. Again, there is an $f \in \overline{R}_{nk}$ so that $p \notin \operatorname{div}_X(f) + (\Delta_{nk} - \Omega)$. In either case, f gives a section of $\mathcal{L}'_n$ that does not vanish at x , so $x \notin Z_n$. Thus Z_n contains no points of finite order. $\square$

We comment that in the commutative setting, $\overline{X}$ would be normal automatically; see [Laz04, Theorem 2.1.27, Example 2.1.15]. We do not know if this is true for our construction.

6. STABILIZING 0-DIMENSIONAL DATA

We are now ready to start working with the infinite order 0-dimensional data defining R . In this section, we begin with a normal ample model (X, σ) for R , and give surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ so that the bimodule algebras $\mathcal{R}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ are equal up to taking Veronese subrings. The key arguments in this section are essentially combinatorial.

By Theorem 5.14, by replacing R by a further Veronese, we may without loss of generality make the following assumptions:

Assumption-Notation 6.1. *We assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with function field K and fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$. Let $\overline{R}_n := R_n z^{-n}$, and assume that $\overline{R}_1$ generates K as a field. Let (X, σ) be a normal model for R with σ numerically trivial, and let $\mathcal{R}_n := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$. Assume also that there are an ample and σ -ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X , an effective locally principal Weil divisor Ω on X containing no points or components of finite order under σ , and 0-dimensional subschemes W_n of X , disjoint from finite σ -orbits, such that for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{I}_{W_n} \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_n$.*

We first show that Ω can meet σ -orbits only finitely often.

Proposition 6.2. *Assume Assumption-Notation 6.1. Let $p \in X$ be a point of infinite order under σ ; let $O(p)$ denote the σ -orbit of p . Then Ω intersects $O(p)$ only finitely often.*

Proof. Suppose that $O(p) \cap \Omega$ is infinite. We will show that R is not noetherian.

First suppose that for infinitely many $d \leq 0$, we have $\sigma^d(p) \in \Omega$. By Lemma 4.1 there is a finite set V such that, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $W_n \subseteq V \cup \dots \cup \sigma^{-(n-1)}V$. We define a point q as follows: if $O(p) \cap V = \emptyset$, let $q := p$. If $O(p)$ meets V , let $c := \min\{d \mid \sigma^d(p) \in V\}$ and let $q := \sigma^{c-1}(p)$. In either case, for all $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, we have $\sigma^{-n}(q) \notin W_m$.

Define a left ideal J of R by letting $J := \bigoplus \overline{J}_n z^n$, where

$$\overline{J}_n := H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_n \cdot \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma^n}) \cap \overline{R}_n.$$

If $\sigma^{-n}(q) \in \Omega$, then $\mathcal{R}_n \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma^n}$ and so $J_n = R_n$. On the other hand, since $\sigma^{-n}(q) \notin W_n = \text{Bs}(\overline{R}_n)$ by construction, if $\sigma^{-n}(q) \notin \Omega$ then there is some section of $\mathcal{L}_n$ in $\overline{R}_n$ that does not vanish at $\sigma^{-n}(q)$. That is, $J_n = R_n$ if and only if $\sigma^{-n}(q) \in \Omega$.

For all $i < n$ we have $R_{n-i} J_i \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_\Omega \cdot \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{L}_n) z^n$. Fix $m \geq 1$ and $n > m$ such that $\sigma^{-n}(q) \in \Omega$. Then

$$(R \cdot J_{\leq m})_n \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_\Omega \cdot \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{L}_n) z^n.$$

As $\sigma^{-n}(q) \notin W_n = \text{Bs}(\overline{R}_n)$, we have that

$$(H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_\Omega \cdot \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma^n} \mathcal{L}_n) \cap \overline{R}_n) \neq \overline{J}_n = \overline{R}_n.$$

Thus J is not finitely generated.

Now suppose that for infinitely many $d \geq 0$, we have $\sigma^d(p) \in \Omega$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L}(-\Omega + \sigma^{-1}\Omega).$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{I}_{W_n} (\mathcal{I}_\Omega)^{\sigma^n} (\mathcal{L}')_n$. That is, R is also contained in a left idealizer at Ω inside $B' = B(X, \mathcal{L}', \sigma)$. An argument symmetric to the one above constructs a right ideal of R that is not finitely generated. $\square$

We now analyze the 0-dimensional schemes $(\Omega \cup W_n) \cap O(p)$. To simplify our computations, we will pass to a Veronese subring so that our data may be presented in a standard form. That we may do so is the content of the following elementary lemma.

For any $k \geq 1$, and for any $p \in X$, we will let $O_k(p)$ denote the σ^k -orbit of p .

Lemma 6.3. *Assume Assumption-Notation 6.1. Then there is a positive integer k such that, for any $p \in X$, either $O_k(p)$ is disjoint from all W_n or there is a point $q \in O_k(p)$ so that $O_k(p) \cap \Omega \subseteq \{q\}$ and*

$$\{q\} \subseteq (O_k(p) \cap (\Omega \cup W_k)) \subseteq \{q, \sigma^{-k}(q)\}.$$

We first note:

Sublemma 6.4. *Suppose that q is a point of infinite order and that*

$$(\Omega \cup W_1) \cap O(q) \subseteq \{q, \dots, \sigma^{-s}(q)\}.$$

Then

$$(\Omega \cup W_n) \cap O(q) \subseteq \{q, \sigma^{-1}(q), \dots, \sigma^{-(n+s-1)}(q)\}$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows from (4.3). $\square$

Proof of Lemma 6.3. By Lemma 4.1 we know that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_n$$

is contained in finitely many infinite σ -orbits. By Proposition 6.2 each of those orbits meets Ω only finitely often. Thus there is some $s \geq 1$ such that for any $p \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_n$, we have that

$$(\Omega \cup W_1) \cap O(p) \subseteq \{\sigma^{-i}(p), \sigma^{-(i+1)}(p), \dots, \sigma^{-(i+s)}(p)\}$$

for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let p be a point of $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_n$. Let

$$m := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \sigma^n(p) \in \Omega \cup W_1\},$$

and let $q := \sigma^m(p)$. Then the hypotheses of Sublemma 6.4 hold, and therefore

$$(\Omega \cup W_n) \cap O(q) \subseteq \{q, \dots, \sigma^{-(n+s-1)}(q)\}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, for any $n \geq s$ and any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have that

$$(\Omega \cup W_n) \cap O_n(\sigma^{-i}(q)) \subseteq \{\sigma^{-i}(q), \sigma^{-(i+n)}(q)\}.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \cap O_{2s}(\sigma^{-i}(q)) &\subseteq ((\Omega \cup W_{2s}) \cap O_{2s}(\sigma^{-i}(q))) \cap ((\Omega \cup W_s) \cap O_s(\sigma^{-i}(q))) \\ &\subseteq \{\sigma^{-i}(q), \sigma^{-(i+2s)}(q)\} \cap \{\sigma^{-i}(q), \sigma^{-(i+s)}(q)\} = \{\sigma^{-i}(q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \leq i \leq 2s-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \cap O_{2s}(\sigma^{-i}(q)) &\subseteq ((\Omega \cup W_{2s}) \cap O_{2s}(\sigma^{-i}(q))) \cap ((\Omega \cup W_s) \cap O_s(\sigma^{-(i-s)}(q))) \\ &\subseteq \{\sigma^{-i}(q), \sigma^{-(i+2s)}(q)\} \cap \{\sigma^{-(i-s)}(q), \sigma^{-i}(q)\} = \{\sigma^{-i}(q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma holds for $k = 2s$. $\square$

Lemma 6.3 allows us to replace R by a Veronese subring so that without loss of generality we may make the following assumptions:

Assumption-Notation 6.5. We assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with function field K and fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$. Let $\overline{R}_n := R_n z^{-n}$, and assume that $\overline{R}_1$ generates K as a field. Let (X, σ) be a normal model for R with σ numerically trivial, and let $\mathcal{R}_n(X) := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$. Assume also that there are an ample and σ -ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X , an effective locally principal Weil divisor Ω on X containing no points or components of finite order under σ , and 0 -dimensional subschemes W_n of X , disjoint from finite σ -orbits, such that for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{R}_n(X) = \mathcal{I}_{W_n} \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_n$.

In addition, we assume that for any orbit $O(p)$ that meets $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_n$, there is some $q \in O(p)$ such that

$$\{q\} \subseteq O(p) \cap (W_1 \cup \Omega) \subseteq \{q, \sigma^{-1}(q)\},$$

and $O(p) \cap \Omega \subseteq \{q\}$.

We note here a combinatorial result on the behavior of certain posets, which we state in generality because we will use it here and in Section 8.

Lemma 6.6. Let $(\mathbb{M}, \preceq)$ be a poset satisfying the ascending chain condition, and let

$$\{M_j^n \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}$$

be a collection of elements of $\mathbb{M}$ so that for all n, j we have:

- (1) $M_j^{n+1} \succeq M_j^n$, and
- (2) $M_{j+1}^{n+1} \succeq M_j^n$.

Then there are some $K \geq 2a \in \mathbb{N}$ so that if $n \geq K$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} M_i^n &= M_i^K \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a. \\ M_i^n &= M_a^K \text{ for } a \leq i \leq n-a. \\ M_i^n &= M_{i-n+K}^K \text{ for } n-a \leq i \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we have

$$M_1^K \preceq M_2^K \preceq \cdots \preceq M_a^K = \cdots = M_{K-a}^K \succeq M_{K-a+1}^K \succeq \cdots \succeq M_{K-1}^K.$$

Proof. For each $n \geq i$, the chain

$$M_i^n \preceq M_i^{n+1} \preceq \cdots$$

stabilizes; define M_i^∞ to be this limiting value. Likewise, the chains

$$M_{n-j}^n \preceq M_{n+1-j}^{n+1} \preceq \cdots$$

stabilize to a limiting value N_j^∞ . Taking the limit of (1) and (2) as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$M_i^\infty \preceq M_{i+1}^\infty$$

and

$$N_j^\infty \preceq N_{j+1}^\infty$$

for all $i, j \geq 1$. Define

$$M_\infty^\infty := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M_i^\infty$$

and

$$N_\infty^\infty := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} N_j^\infty.$$

Since the sets $\{M_j^\infty\}$ and $\{N_j^\infty\}$ are cofinal subsets of $\{M_j^n\}$, we have equality of the limits

$$M_\infty^\infty = N_\infty^\infty.$$

Thus we may choose $a \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2a$ so that

$$M_\infty^\infty = M_a^\infty = M_a^r = M_{r-a}^r = N_a^\infty.$$

Possibly increasing r , we may assume that $M_i^r = M_i^\infty$ and $N_{r-i}^r = N_i^\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq a$.

Note that if $e \geq c$ and $b \leq d \leq b + e - c$, then

$$M_b^c \preceq M_d^e.$$

Let $K := 2r - 2a$. Let $n \geq K$. If $a \leq i \leq n/2 \leq n + a - r$, then

$$M_\infty^\infty = M_a^r \preceq M_i^n \preceq M_\infty^\infty.$$

In particular, $M_a^K = M_\infty^\infty$. Likewise, if $n - a \geq i \geq n/2 \geq r - a$, then

$$M_a^K = M_\infty^\infty = M_{r-a}^r \preceq M_i^n \preceq M_\infty^\infty.$$

By choice of r , if $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $n \geq K$, then $M_i^n = M_i^\infty = M_i^r$, and $M_{n-i}^n = N_i^\infty = M_{r-i}^r$. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *Assume Assumption-Notation 6.5. Let $p \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_n$, and let $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ be the local ring of X at p , with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. For all $j \geq 1$ and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\mathfrak{m}_i^j$ to be the stalk of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{R}_j \mathcal{L}_j^{-1} = \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{I}_{W_n}$ at $\sigma^{-i}(p)$, considered as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}$ via the isomorphism $\sigma^i : \mathcal{O}_{X, \sigma^{-i}p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. Our assumptions imply that by reindexing the orbit of p if necessary, we may assume that $\mathfrak{m}_i^1 = \mathcal{O}$ for all $i < 0$ and $i > 1$, that $\mathfrak{m}_0^1 \neq \mathcal{O}$, and that $\Omega \cap \mathcal{O}(p) \subseteq \{p\}$.*

Then there are integers $N \geq t \geq 1$, ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{t-1}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{c}_{t-1}, \dots, \mathfrak{c}_0$ of $\mathcal{O}$ that are either $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary or equal to $\mathcal{O}$, and an ideal $\mathfrak{a}_0$ of $\mathcal{O}$ so that for all $n \geq N$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_i^n &= \mathfrak{a}_i \text{ for } 0 \leq i < t \\ \mathfrak{m}_i^n &= \mathfrak{d} \text{ if } t \leq i \leq n - t \\ \mathfrak{m}_i^n &= \mathfrak{c}_{n-i} \text{ if } n - t < i \leq n \\ \mathfrak{m}_i^n &= \mathcal{O} \text{ if } i < 0 \text{ or } i > n. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we have $\mathfrak{a}_0 \mathfrak{c}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{d}$ and

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{t-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \supseteq \mathfrak{c}_{t-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{c}_1.$$

Proof. The multiplication $\mathcal{R}_n \mathcal{R}_m^{\sigma^n} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n+m}$ implies that

$$\mathfrak{m}_i^n \mathfrak{m}_{i-n}^m \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i^{n+m}$$

for all i, n, m . In particular, since if $k < 0$ and $\ell > 1$ then $\mathfrak{m}_k^1 = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^1 = \mathcal{O}$, we have that

$$\mathfrak{m}_i^n = \mathfrak{m}_i^n \mathfrak{m}_{i-n}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i^{n+1}$$

if $i \leq n - 1$, and

$$\mathfrak{m}_i^n = \mathfrak{m}_{i+1}^1 \mathfrak{m}_i^n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{i+1}^{n+1}$$

if $i \geq 1$. Thus the poset

$$\{\mathfrak{m}_i^n \mid 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\},$$

of ideals of $\mathcal{O}$, partially ordered by inclusion, satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 6.6.

The existence of N, t , and

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{t-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_t = \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}_t \supseteq \mathfrak{c}_{t-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{c}_1,$$

as claimed, follows directly from Lemma 6.6. Since the chains $\{\mathfrak{m}_0^n\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{m}_n^n\}$ have maximal elements, by possibly increasing N we may also obtain $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0^N$ and $\mathfrak{c}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_N^N$ as described. Then

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \mathfrak{c}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_N^N \mathfrak{m}_0^N \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_N^{2N} = \mathfrak{d},$$

as claimed. $\square$

The ideal $\mathfrak{d}$ constructed in Lemma 6.7 is called the *central stalk* of R at the orbit $O(p)$.

Corollary 6.8. *Let t be the integer constructed in Lemma 6.7. For all $s \geq t$ there is an integer $M \geq 1$ so that for all $n \geq M$ we have:*

- (1) *If $0 \leq k \leq s$ then $\mathfrak{m}_{2is+k}^{2ns} = \mathfrak{d}$ if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{2is+k}^{2ns} = \mathcal{O}$ if $i < 0$ or $i > n$.*
- (2) *If $s+1 \leq k \leq 2s-1$ then $\mathfrak{m}_{2is+k}^{2ns} = \mathfrak{d}$ if $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{2is+k}^{2ns} = \mathcal{O}$ if $i < 0$ or $i \geq n$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 6.7. $\square$

We may now give the defining data for the bimodule algebra $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(X)$.

Definition 6.9. We will say that the surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ is *normal* if:

- X is normal;
- σ is numerically trivial;
- $\mathcal{L}$ is ample (and therefore σ -ample);
- Ω contains no points or components of finite order under σ ;
- the cosupport of $\mathcal{ADC}$ meets σ -orbits at most once; and
- if $w \in \text{Cosupp } \mathcal{ADC}$, then $\Omega \cap O(w) \subseteq \{w\}$.

Note that if $\mathbb{D}$ is normal, then Ω is locally principal.

Theorem 6.10. *Let R be a birationally commutative projective surface. Then there are normal surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ and an integer $k \geq 1$ so that*

$$\mathcal{R}(X)^{(k)} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.9, after replacing R by a Veronese subring we may assume that we are in the situation of Assumption-Notation 5.6. By Theorem 5.14, by replacing R by a further Veronese subring and possibly changing X , we may assume that R and X satisfy Assumption-Notation 6.1.

By Lemma 6.3, we may replace R by a further Veronese subring to assume that for all p such that $O(p)$ meets W_1 , there is a $q \in O(p)$ so that

$$\{q\} \subseteq O(p) \cap (\Omega \cup W_1) \subseteq \{q, \sigma^{-1}(q)\}$$

and $O(p) \cap \Omega \subseteq \{q\}$. By Sublemma 6.4,

$$O(p) \cap (\Omega \cup W_n) \subseteq \{q, \dots, \sigma^{-n}(q)\}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.1, there are points $q^1, \dots, q^r$ with orbits $O^j := O(q^j)$, so that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_n \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^r O^j.$$

For $j = 1 \dots r$, apply Lemma 6.7 to O^j to obtain positive integers t^j and N^j and ideals $\mathfrak{d}^j$, $\mathfrak{a}_i^j$, and $\mathfrak{c}_i^j$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,q^j}$, for $0 \leq i \leq t^j - 1$. Let $s := \max\{t^j, N^j\}$. For integers i with $t^j \leq i \leq s - 1$, define $\mathfrak{a}_i^j := \mathfrak{c}_i^j := \mathfrak{d}^j$. We have

$$\mathfrak{a}_1^j \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{s-1}^j \subseteq \mathfrak{d}^j \supseteq \mathfrak{c}_{s-1}^j \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{c}_1^j$$

and $\mathfrak{a}_0^j \mathfrak{c}_0^j \subseteq \mathfrak{d}^j$ for all j . Corollary 6.8 implies that, replacing R by $R^{(2s)}$ (and so replacing σ by σ^{2s} , replacing $\mathcal{L}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{2s}$, and replacing r by $2sr$) and reindexing orbits as needed, we may presume that the conclusions of Lemma 6.7 hold on all orbits, with $N = t = 1$.

We define an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}_\Omega$ so that $\mathcal{I}_\Omega/\mathcal{A}'$ is supported on $\{q^1, \dots, q^r\}$ by setting the stalk of $\mathcal{A}'$ at q^j to be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{a}_0^j$. Similarly, we define an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{C}$, cosupported on $\{q^1, \dots, q^r\}$, by setting the stalk of $\mathcal{C}$ at q^j to be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{c}_0^j$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the ideal sheaf cosupported at $\{q^1, \dots, q^r\}$ so that

$$\mathcal{D}_{q^j} \cong \mathfrak{d}^j.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{I}_\Omega^{-1}\mathcal{A}'$.

Now, $\mathbb{D} := (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ is surface data, and we have seen it is in addition normal. By construction,

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{A}'\mathcal{D}^\sigma \dots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}}\mathcal{C}^{\sigma^n}\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{I}_\Omega\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}^\sigma \dots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}}\mathcal{C}^{\sigma^n}\mathcal{L}_n$$

if $n \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$. $\square$

We record for future reference the effect of taking Veronese subrings upon surface data.

Lemma 6.11. *Suppose that*

$$\mathbb{D}' = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$$

is surface data. Let $n \geq 1$, and let

$$\mathbb{D} := (X, \mathcal{L}_n, \sigma^n, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}^\sigma \dots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}}, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^\sigma \dots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega).$$

Then $\mathbb{D}$ is surface data, and

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}')^{(n)} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Furthermore, if the surface data $\mathbb{D}'$ is normal, respectively transverse, then the surface data $\mathbb{D}$ is normal, respectively transverse.

Proof. This is an elementary computation, which we leave to the reader. $\square$

7. TRANSVERSALITY OF THE DEFINING DATA

In Section 6, we constructed normal surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ such that (up to a Veronese) we have $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$. In this section, we show that the data $\mathbb{D}$ is in fact transverse, and that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ is a finite module over (a Veronese of) R . This allows us to consider $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ a kind of normalization of R , and further justifies the term “normal surface data.”

By Theorem 6.10, we may assume without loss of generality that we are in the following situation:

Assumption-Notation 7.1. *We assume that R is a birationally commutative projective surface with $R_1 \neq 0$ and fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$. As usual, we define $\overline{R}_n := R_n z^{-n}$. In addition, we assume that $\overline{R}_1$ generates K as a field. We assume also that there is surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$, normal in the sense of Definition 6.9, so that if $\mathcal{R}_n(X) := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$, then*

$$\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}).$$

We will continue to let W_n be the base locus of $\overline{R}_n$ for $n \geq 1$, so that W_n is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}^\sigma \dots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}} \mathcal{C}^{\sigma^n}$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Let Z be the subscheme defined by $\mathcal{D}$, let Λ be the subscheme defined by $\mathcal{A}$, and let Λ' be the subscheme defined by $\mathcal{C}$.

We first prove the unsurprising result that in this situation Ω has good transversality properties.

Lemma 7.2. *The set $\{\sigma^n \Omega\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is critically transverse.*

Proof. By assumption, Ω contains no points or components of finite order under σ . Since X is normal, Ω is locally principal. By Proposition 6.2, Ω meets infinite orbits at only finitely many points. Thus by [Sie09, Lemma 3.1], $\{\sigma^n \Omega\}$ is critically transverse. $\square$

We next prove two lemmas that will, in many cases, allow us to work with the full algebra $T(\mathbb{D})$ instead of the subalgebra R . The first is an easy generalization of a lemma of Rogalski and Stafford.

Lemma 7.3. (Compare [RS06, Lemma 9.3]) *Let X be a projective scheme with automorphism σ . Let $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ be a left and right ample of sequence of bimodules on X such that for each n , the set where $\mathcal{R}_n$ is not locally free has dimension ≤ 0 . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a globally generated coherent sheaf on X and let $V \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ be a vector space that generates $\mathcal{F}$. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for $n \gg 0$, the natural homomorphism*

$$\alpha : V \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i})$$

is surjective.

Proof. By assumption, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i}$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}or_1^X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i} \xrightarrow{\theta_n} V \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_n := \text{Im } \theta_n$. Our assumptions on $\mathcal{R}$ imply that $\mathcal{T}or_1^X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i})$ is supported on a set of dimension 0, and so $H^i(X, \mathcal{T}or_1^X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i})) = 0$ for all n and for all $i \geq 1$. Thus $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i})$. By ampleness of $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$, this vanishes for $n \gg 0$. From the long exact cohomology sequence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow V \otimes_k H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{R}_n^{\sigma^i}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_n),$$

we deduce that α is surjective for $n \gg 0$. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. *Let X be a projective scheme, let σ be an automorphism of X , and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a σ -ample invertible sheaf on X . Suppose that R is a finitely generated graded subalgebra of $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma) = \bigoplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_n)z^n$. For all $n \geq 1$ let $\mathcal{R}_n \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$ be the sheaf generated by the sections in $\overline{R}_n$. Let $T := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_n)z^n$.*

Suppose that for all n , the set where $\mathcal{R}_n$ is not locally free has dimension ≤ 0 and that the sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ is left and right ample. Then T is finitely generated as a left and right R -module.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove that ${}_R T$ is finitely generated.

Let k be such that

$$R_n = \sum_{i=1}^k R_i R_{n-i}$$

for all $n > k$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i}$$

for all $n > k$; taking global sections we have

$$(7.5) \quad T_n = \sum_{i=1}^k H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i}) z^n$$

for all $n > k$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, the sections in $\overline{R}_i$ generate $\mathcal{R}_i$. Applying Lemma 7.3, we obtain that there is some n_0 , which we may take to be greater than k , so that the multiplication map

$$R_i \otimes T_{n-i} \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_i \otimes \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i}) z^n$$

is surjective for $n \geq n_0$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{i,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_i \otimes \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i} \rightarrow 0.$$

The kernel $\mathcal{J}_{i,n}$ is supported at finitely many points, and so $H^1(X, \mathcal{J}_{i,n}) = 0$. Thus the induced map from $H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_i \otimes \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i})$ is surjective. Therefore, for all $n \geq n_0$, the natural map

$$R_i \otimes T_{n-i} \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{n-i}^{\sigma^i}) z^n$$

is surjective. Applying (7.5), we see that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^k R_i T_{n-i}.$$

By induction, T is generated as a left R -module by $T_{\leq n_0}$. □

The next step in proving transversality of the data $\mathbb{D}$ is to show that $\mathcal{ADC}$ is cosupported on points with dense orbits. This is the key result of the current section, and is surprisingly delicate to prove.

Proposition 7.6. *Assume Assumption-Notation 7.1. Then all points in $Z \cup \Lambda \cup \Lambda'$ have dense σ -orbits.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a point in $Z \cup \Lambda \cup \Lambda'$ without a dense orbit. We claim that R is not noetherian.

Let C be the Zariski closure of the orbits of all points without dense orbits in $\text{Supp}(\Lambda \cup Z \cup \Lambda')$. Then C is a reduced but not necessarily irreducible curve on X . By passing to a Veronese, we may assume that all irreducible components of C are σ -invariant.

Let $\mathcal{A}' \supseteq \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}' \supseteq \mathcal{C}$ be maximal so that

$$\mathcal{A}' \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}.$$

For all $n \geq 1$, let Π_n be the closed subscheme of X defined by $\mathcal{A}' \mathcal{D}^\sigma \cdots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{n-1}} (\mathcal{C}')^{\sigma^n}$. For any irreducible component Γ of C , the intersections $W_n \cap \Gamma$ are supported on nonsingular points of X of infinite order, which are therefore also nonsingular points of Γ ; thus $\deg_\Gamma(\Pi_n \cap \Gamma)$ and $\deg_\Gamma(Z \cap \Gamma)$ make sense. Because of the maximality of $\mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{C}'$, we have

$$(7.7) \quad \deg_\Gamma(\Pi_n \cap \Gamma) = n \deg_\Gamma(Z \cap \Gamma)$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Fix $0 \neq f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_1$, and let $F = \text{div}_X(f) + \Delta_1$. As

$$f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{R}_1) \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{A}'(\mathcal{C}')^\sigma \mathcal{L}),$$

we have that $F \cap \Gamma \supseteq \Pi_1 \cap \Gamma$. Thus

$$\deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}|_\Gamma) = \Delta_1 \cdot \Gamma = F \cdot \Gamma \geq \deg_\Gamma(\Pi_1 \cap \Gamma) = \deg_\Gamma(Z \cap \Gamma).$$

We first suppose that this inequality is strict. Thus suppose there is an irreducible component Γ of C so that

$$(7.8) \quad \deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}|_\Gamma) > \deg_\Gamma(Z \cap \Gamma).$$

Suppose also that $Z \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$. For any $p \in Z \cap \Gamma$, consider the closed subscheme $Z_p = Z|_{\{p\}}$ of Z supported at p . For any such p , there is an integer $d_p > 0$ such that the Zariski closure of $\{\sigma^n(Z_p)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $d_p \Gamma$. Let

$$d = \min\{d_p \mid p \in Z \cap \Gamma\},$$

and let $x \in Z$ be a point with $d_x = d$.

Let G be the $(d+1)$ -uple curve defined by the Weil divisor $(d+1)\Gamma$. There is a natural map

$$\phi : B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma) \rightarrow B(G, \mathcal{L}|_G, \sigma|_G).$$

Let $S := \phi(R)$. That is,

$$S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_n}{H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_G \mathcal{L}_n) \cap \overline{\mathcal{R}}_n} \right) z^n = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_n z^n$$

We claim that S is not noetherian.

Let $\mathcal{M}_n := \mathcal{L}_n|_G$. For all n , let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the image of $\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_G$ under the natural map

$$\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n.$$

The sections in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_n$ generate the subsheaf $\mathcal{S}_n$ of $\mathcal{M}_n$. Let

$$k = \deg_\Gamma(Z \cap \Gamma) = \deg_\Gamma(\Pi_1 \cap \Gamma),$$

and let

$$\ell = \deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}|_\Gamma).$$

By (7.8), $\ell > k > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{S}'_n := \mathcal{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ be the image of the natural map $\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n|_\Gamma$. One can easily see that the formula for $\mathcal{T}_n$ gives a constant $c \geq 0$ so that

$$\deg_\Gamma(\Omega \cap \Gamma + W_n \cap \Gamma) = nk + c$$

for all $n \gg 0$. Thus

$$\deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}'_n) = n(\ell - k) - c$$

for all $n \gg 0$.

We will work with the nonreduced scheme G carefully. Fix n ; let V_n be the subscheme of X defined by $\mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{I}_{W_n}$. Let P be the scheme-theoretic intersection $G \cap V_n$. Let $\text{Supp } P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. Recall that Γ is nonsingular at all p_i . Therefore, $P \cap \Gamma$ is the divisor $m_1 p_1 + \dots + m_r p_r$ on Γ for some integers $m_i \geq 1$.

If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p_i}$, let $\bar{f}$ be its image in $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, p_i}$. Then for $i = 1, \dots, r$, there are elements $f_i \in (\mathcal{R}_n \mathcal{L}_n^{-1})_{p_i} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X, p_i}$ so that the valuation of $\bar{f}_i$ in the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, p_i}$ is $m_i > 0$. In particular, the image of f_i in $\mathcal{O}_{G, p_i}$ is a non-zerodivisor. By taking the locally free rank 1 ideal sheaf on G generated by the images of the f_i in $\mathcal{O}_{G, p_i}$, we obtain an invertible ideal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_n$ on G . The sheaf $\mathcal{N}_n$ defines a locally principal subscheme Q of G , with $Q \subseteq P$, so that the scheme-theoretic intersections $Q \cap \Gamma$ and $P \cap \Gamma$ are equal.

Let $\mathcal{N}'_n := \mathcal{N}_n \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$; then $\mathcal{N}'_n$ is an invertible subsheaf of $\mathcal{S}_n$ with

$$\deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{N}'_n|_\Gamma) = \deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}'_n).$$

Thus

$$\deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{N}'_n|_\Gamma) = n(l - k) - c$$

for $n \gg 0$.

As

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(l - k) - c = \infty,$$

by [RS07, Corollary 3.14] the sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{N}'_n)_{\sigma^n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a left and right ample sequence on G . Since the cokernel of

$$\mathcal{N}'_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$$

is supported on a set of dimension 0, by [Sie09, Corollary 3.7], $\{(\mathcal{S}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ is also a left and right ample sequence on G .

Let

$$A := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(G, \mathcal{S}_n) z^n.$$

By Lemma 7.4, A is finitely generated as a left and right S -module. Thus it suffices to prove that A is not noetherian.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the $(\sigma$ -invariant) ideal sheaf of $d\Gamma$ on G . Let J be the ideal

$$J := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(G, \mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_n) z^n$$

of T . Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the subsheaf $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{O}_G$ of $\mathcal{O}_G$.

There are integers $a, n_0 \geq 0$ so that

$$(\mathcal{S}_n)_{\sigma^{-(n-a)}(x)} = (\mathcal{E}^{\sigma^{n-a}} \mathcal{M}_n)_{\sigma^{-(n-a)}(x)}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$; in fact, we may take $a = 1$. As $d\Gamma$ is the Zariski closure of $\{\sigma^n(Z_x)\}$, we have containments

$$(\mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_n)_{\sigma^{-(n-a)}(x)} \subseteq (\mathcal{S}_n)_{\sigma^{-(n-a)}(x)} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_n)_{\sigma^{-(n-a)}(x)}.$$

Thus for any $m \geq n_0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$J_n A_m \subseteq H^0(G, \mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_n (\mathcal{E}^{\sigma^{m-a}} \mathcal{M}_m)^{\sigma^n}) z^{m+n} = H^0(G, \mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}^{\sigma^{n+m-a}} \mathcal{M}_{n+m}) z^{m+n}.$$

The kernel and cokernel of

$$\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_n$$

are supported on sets of dimension 0, and $\{(\mathcal{S}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ is a left and right ample sequence on G . Thus by [Sie09, Corollary 3.7], there is n_1 so that the sheaf $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_n$ is globally generated for $n \geq n_1$. We may assume that $n_1 \geq n_0$. Then for any $m \geq n_1$ and $n \geq m + n_0$, we have

$$((J_{\leq m}) \cdot A)_n \subseteq H^0(G, \mathcal{J} \mathcal{E}^{\sigma^{n-a}} \mathcal{M}_n) z^n \subsetneq J_n.$$

Thus J is not finitely generated as a right ideal of A .

If (7.8) holds and $Z \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, then there is some $p \in (\Lambda \cup \Lambda') \cap \Gamma$. Suppose that $p \in \Lambda'$. Let d be such that $d\Gamma \supseteq (\Lambda')_p$. Let $G := (d+1)\Gamma$ and let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the image of $\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n|_G$. Let

$$A := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(G, \mathcal{S}_n) z^n.$$

As above, it suffices to prove that A is not noetherian. If $\mathcal{J}$ is the ideal sheaf of $d\Gamma$ on G , then it follows as above that the right ideal

$$J := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(G, \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}_n|_G) \cap \mathcal{S}_n)$$

of A is not finitely generated. The case that $p \in \Lambda$ is similar.

It remains to consider the case that

$$\deg_C(Z \cap C) = \deg_C(\mathcal{L}|_C).$$

Let Γ be an irreducible component of C and let $n \geq 1$. Since

$$n \deg_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}|_{\Gamma}) \geq \deg_{\Gamma}(\Omega \cap \Gamma) + \deg_{\Gamma}(W_n \cap \Gamma) \geq \deg_{\Gamma}(\Pi_n \cap \Gamma) = n \deg_{\Gamma}(Z \cap \Gamma),$$

we have

(7.9)

$$\deg_{\Gamma}(\Pi_n \cap \Gamma) = n \deg_{\Gamma}(Z \cap \Gamma) = \deg_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}_n|_{\Gamma}) = \deg_{\Gamma}(\Omega \cap \Gamma) + \deg_{\Gamma}(W_n \cap \Gamma) > 0.$$

Choose some $p \in Z \cap \Gamma$. For all i , let

$$p_i := \sigma^{-i}(p).$$

By reindexing the orbit of p if necessary, we may assume that $p_i \notin Z$ for $i < 0$. Our assumption on the defining data for $\mathcal{R}$ implies that if $i \geq 2$, then $p_i \notin \Omega \cup W_1$. Let $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. As usual, we will identify all $\mathcal{O}_{X,p_i}$ with $\mathcal{O}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}$ is a regular local ring of dimension 2, since X is normal by assumption and the orbit of p is infinite. Let $\mathfrak{d}$ be the central stalk of R at $\mathcal{O}(p)$; that is, there are integers b , which we assume to be at least 1, and N , which we assume to be at least $2b$, so that for $n \geq N$ and $b \leq i \leq n - b$ we have that

$$(\mathcal{R}_n)_{p_i} = \mathfrak{d}.$$

The point p has infinite order on Γ , and so Γ is nonsingular at p . Let y be the local equation of Γ in $\mathcal{O}$. Thus there is some $x \in \mathcal{O}$ so that x and y generate the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathcal{O}$.

For all $i \geq 1$, let

$$J(i) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (\overline{R}_n \cap H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_\Gamma \mathcal{L}_n)) z^n \subseteq R.$$

Let $d = \min\{i \mid y^i \in \mathfrak{d}\}$. Let

$$A := R/J(d+1).$$

We will show that A is not noetherian. We first show that $\text{GKdim } A \geq 2$.

Now, $\overline{R}_N \not\subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_\Gamma \mathcal{L}_N)$, since Γ is not contained in the gap divisor Ω . Let $f \in \overline{R}_N \setminus H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_\Gamma \mathcal{L}_N)$ and let $F = \text{div}_X(f) + \Delta_N$. The germ of F at p_b is in $\mathfrak{d} \setminus y\mathcal{O}$ and is thus equal to $xr + ys$ for some $r \in \mathcal{O} \setminus y\mathcal{O}$ and $s \in \mathcal{O}$. Recall

$$\deg_\Gamma(F \cap \Gamma) = \deg_\Gamma(\Delta_N \cap \Gamma) = \deg_\Gamma(\Pi_N \cap \Gamma)$$

by (7.9). Since

$$f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{A}' \mathcal{D}^\sigma \dots \mathcal{D}^{\sigma^{N-1}} (\mathcal{C}')^{\sigma^N} \mathcal{L}_N)$$

and $b \geq 1$, we see that F does not vanish at p_{b+Nj} unless $j = 0$.

Since $y^d \in \mathfrak{d}$, there is some $h \in \overline{R}_N$ so that the germ of $H := \text{div}_X(h) + \Delta_n$ at p_b is equal to y^d . Thus we have $H = d\Gamma + C$, where $C(p_b) \neq 0$.

Let $m \geq 2$. For $i = 1 \dots m-1$, define $\gamma_i \in \overline{R}_{Nm}$ by

$$\gamma_i := f f^{\sigma^N} \dots f^{\sigma^{N(i-1)}} h^{\sigma^{Ni}} f^{\sigma^{N(i+1)}} \dots f^{\sigma^{N(m-1)}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_X(\gamma_i) + \Delta_{Nm} &= \\ F + \sigma^{-N}(F) + \dots + \sigma^{-N(i-1)}(F) + \sigma^{-Ni}(H) + \sigma^{-N(i+1)}(F) + \dots + \sigma^{-N(m-1)}(F) \\ &= d\Gamma + F + \dots + \sigma^{-N(i-1)}(F) + \sigma^{-Ni}(C) + \sigma^{-N(i+1)}(F) + \dots + \sigma^{-N(m-1)}(F). \end{aligned}$$

Fix $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. The local equation of $\text{div}_X(\gamma_i) + \Delta_{Nm}$ at p_{b+Ni} is equal to $y^d \eta$ for some local unit η . On the other hand, if $j \neq i$, the local equation of $\text{div}_X(\gamma_j) + \Delta_{Nm}$ at p_{b+Ni} is equal to $(xr + ys)y^d \beta$ for some $0 \neq \beta \in \mathcal{O}$. In particular, we see that modulo y^{d+1} , the set

$$\{\gamma_j \mid j \neq i\}$$

does not generate γ_i . Thus the elements

$$\{\gamma_i z^{Nm} + J(d+1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\} \subseteq A_{Nm}$$

are linearly independent, and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(A_{Nm}) \geq m$. Therefore, $\text{GKdim } A \geq 2$.

Suppose that A is noetherian. Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the image of the natural map $\mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n|_\Gamma$. Let

$$B := R/J(1) \subseteq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}_n) z^n.$$

Recall from (7.9) that

$$\deg_\Gamma(W_n \cap \Gamma) + \deg_\Gamma(\Omega \cap \Gamma) = \deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}_n|_\Gamma)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. That is,

$$\deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n) = \deg_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}_n|_\Gamma) - \deg_\Gamma(\Omega \cap \Gamma + W_n \cap \Gamma) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 1$, and $\dim H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}_n) \leq 1$ for all n . Therefore, $\text{GKdim } B \leq 1$.

Each $J(i)/J(i+1)$ is a finitely generated A -module. The A -action on $J(i)/J(i+1)$ factors through B . Thus each $J(i)/J(i+1)$ is also a finitely generated B -module

and thus has GK-dimension ≤ 1 . The module A_A has a finite filtration by modules of the form $J(i)/J(i+1)$; therefore $\text{GKdim } A \leq 1$. This gives a contradiction.

Thus every point in $Z \cup \Lambda \cup \Lambda'$ must have a dense orbit. $\square$

Theorem 7.10. *Assume Assumption-Notation 7.1. Then the surface data*

$$\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$$

is transverse, and $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a finitely generated left and right R -module. Further, $T(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ are noetherian.

Proof. We have seen in Lemma 7.2 that $\{\sigma^n \Omega\}$ is critically transverse and in Proposition 7.6 that all points in $Z \cup \Lambda \cup \Lambda'$ have dense σ -orbits. By Lemma 2.9 the sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ is left and right ample. Thus by Lemma 7.4, $T := T(\mathbb{D})$ is a finitely generated left and right R -module. Thus T is noetherian. By Theorem 2.8 the data $\mathbb{D}$ is transverse and $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$ is a noetherian bimodule algebra. $\square$

Corollary 7.11. *Let R be a birationally commutative projective surface. Then there is transverse surface data*

$$\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega),$$

where X is normal and σ is numerically trivial, and an integer ℓ so that

$$R^{(\ell)} \subseteq T(\mathbb{D})$$

and $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a finitely generated left and right module over $R^{(\ell)}$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.10, there are a positive integer ℓ and normal surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ so that

$$\mathcal{R}(X)^{(\ell)} = T(\mathbb{D}).$$

By possibly increasing ℓ , we may also assume that $\overline{R}_1$ generates $K = \mathbb{k}(X)$. Thus Assumption-Notation 7.1 holds for $R^{(\ell)}$. By Theorem 7.10, $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a finitely generated left and right $R^{(\ell)}$ -module, and the data $\mathbb{D}$ is in fact transverse. $\square$

8. CONSTRUCTING Y

Let us review our progress towards proving Theorem 10.1. In Theorem 6.10, we constructed surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ for an appropriate bimodule algebra $\mathcal{R}$ associated to R ; in Corollary 7.11 we showed that this data is actually transverse, and that $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a finite left and right module over some $R^{(k)}$. In some sense, we may think of $T(\mathbb{D})$ as a normalization of R , or more properly of $R^{(k)}$; note that the variety X given in Theorem 6.10 is normal.

Of course, there is no guarantee that R is really associated to a normal variety. Modifying X to find the true surface associated to R turns out to be quite technical. In this section, we construct the scheme Y on which R actually lives by carefully studying the rational maps on X defined by the sections in $\overline{R}_n$.

We will assume that we are in the situation of Assumption-Notation 7.1. By Theorem 7.10 the data $\mathbb{D}$ is transverse.

Notation 8.1. We establish notation that we will use throughout the section. For any $n \geq 1$, $\overline{R}_n$ defines a rational map

$$X \xrightarrow{\beta_n} \mathbb{P}^N$$

that is birational onto its image. Let Y_n be the closure of the image of X ; we also use β_n to denote the induced birational map from X to Y_n . If we let $\alpha'_n : X'_n \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X at the base locus W_n of $\overline{R}_n$, then by [Har77, Example II.7.17.3] there is a birational morphism $\gamma'_n : X'_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_n & & \\ \alpha'_n \downarrow & \searrow \gamma'_n & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & Y_n \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $\lambda_n : X_n \rightarrow X'_n$ be the normalization of X'_n , and let $\alpha_n := \alpha'_n \lambda_n$ and $\gamma_n := \gamma'_n \lambda_n$. Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & & \\ \alpha_n \downarrow & \searrow \gamma_n & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & Y_n \end{array}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Note, that as X is normal, α_n^{-1} is defined at all points in $X \setminus W_n$.

Let W be the set-theoretic cosupport of $\mathcal{ADC}$ and let

$$\mathbb{W} := \bigcup_{p \in W} O(p).$$

That is, $\mathbb{W}$ is the union of the finitely many (dense) orbits that meet some W_n . Let $U := X \setminus \mathbb{W}$. Note that all β_n are defined at all points in U . For any $n \geq 1$, let E_n be the exceptional locus of α_n . Then α_n induces an isomorphism from $X_n \setminus E_n \rightarrow X \setminus W_n$. Let $U_n := \alpha_n^{-1}(U)$. We caution that U_n is *not* $X_n \setminus E_n$.

If $N > n \geq 1$, let $\pi_n^N : Y_N \rightarrow Y_n$ be the birational map induced from the multiplication $\overline{R}_n(\overline{R}_{N-n})^{\sigma^n} \subseteq \overline{R}_N$ and Lemma 5.13, with $E = \sigma^{-n}(\Omega)$. That is, the diagram of birational maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\beta_N} & Y_N \\ & \searrow \beta_n & \downarrow \pi_n^N \\ & & Y_n \end{array}$$

commutes, and for any $x \in U \setminus \sigma^{-n}(\Omega)$, π_n^N is defined at $\beta_N(x)$. Likewise, the multiplication $\overline{R}_{N-n}(\overline{R}_n)^{\sigma^{N-n}} \subseteq \overline{R}_N$ gives a commuting diagram of birational maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\beta_N} & Y_N \\ & \searrow \beta_n \circ \sigma^{N-n} & \downarrow \rho_n^N \\ & & Y_n. \end{array}$$

The map ρ_n^N is defined at $\beta_N(x)$ if $x \in U \setminus \sigma^{-(N-n)}(\Omega)$.

We record for future reference an elementary lemma on birational maps.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational map of projective varieties that is defined and is a local isomorphism at $x \in X$; let $y := \beta(x)$. Then β^{-1} is defined at y ; in particular, if $x' \in X$ with $\beta(x') = y$, then $x' = x$.*

Proof. This is almost tautological. The fact that β induces an isomorphism between the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ means that there are open neighborhoods $x \in V \subseteq X$ and $y \in V' \subseteq Y$ so that β restricts to an isomorphism between V and V' . This means that β^{-1} gives a well-defined map

$$V' \rightarrow V \subseteq X.$$

This precisely says that the birational map $\beta^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ is defined at y . $\square$

For all $n \geq 1$, let A_n be the set of points $p \in U$ such that β_n is not a local isomorphism at p ; that is, the set of p such that the induced map from $\mathcal{O}_{Y_n, \beta_n(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is not an isomorphism. Write A_n as the disjoint union $A_n = C_n \sqcup Q_n \sqcup P_n$, where C_n is the intersection of a curve in X with U , Q_n is 0-dimensional and supported on points of infinite order under σ , and P_n is 0-dimensional and supported on points of finite order. By assumption on the cardinality of $\mathbb{k}$, any curve in X must meet U in uncountably many points, and so the sets C , P , and Q are well-defined.

Proposition 8.3. *There is some m_1 such that A_{m_1} is σ -invariant and $A_n = A_{m_1}$ for all $n \geq m_1$; further, $\overline{C}_{m_1} \subseteq U$ and $Q_{m_1} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $y \in U$ and let $N > n \geq 1$. If π_n^N is defined at $\beta_N(y)$ and β_n is a local isomorphism at y , then from the inclusions

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_n, \beta_n(y)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{Y_N, \beta_N(y)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,y}$$

clearly β_N is a local isomorphism at y . As π_n^N is defined on $\beta_n(U \setminus \sigma^{-n}\Omega)$, we see that

$$A_N \subseteq A_n \cup \sigma^{-n}\Omega.$$

Making the same argument with the map ρ_n^N , we obtain that

$$A_N \subseteq \sigma^{-(N-n)}(A_n) \cup \sigma^{-(N-n)}\Omega.$$

Thus

$$(8.4) \quad A_{n+m} \subseteq \sigma^{-m}(\Omega \cup A_n) \cap (A_n \cup \sigma^{-n}\Omega)$$

for any $n, m \geq 1$. In particular,

$$C_{n+m} \subseteq \sigma^{-m}(\Omega \cup C_n) \cap (C_n \cup \sigma^{-n}\Omega)$$

for all $n, m \geq 1$.

Fix $n \geq 1$. Then $\sigma^{-n}\Omega \cap \sigma^{-m}\Omega$ is finite for $m \gg 0$. Further, $C_n \cap \sigma^{-m}\Omega$ and $\sigma^{-m}C_n \cap \sigma^{-n}\Omega$ are finite for $m \gg 0$. Thus for $m \gg 0$, we have

$$C_{n+m} \subseteq C_n \cap \sigma^{-m}C_n.$$

Either $C_{n+m} = 0$ for $m \gg 0$, or C_n contains a σ -invariant curve C' so that $C_{n+m} = C'$ for $m \gg 0$. In either case, there is some n_1 such that if $n \geq n_1$, then $C_n = C_{n_1}$ and $\sigma(C_{n_1}) = C_{n_1}$. Let $C := C_{n_1}$.

Now C is a curve in U ; let $\overline{C}$ be its closure in X . Then $\overline{C}$ is also σ -stable, and since all orbits in $\mathbb{W}$ are Zariski-dense in X , we have $\mathbb{W} \cap \overline{C} = \emptyset$. Thus $C = \overline{C} \subseteq U$.

For $n, m \geq n_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+m} &\subseteq A_{n+m} \subseteq \sigma^{-m}(\Omega \cup C \cup Q_n \cup P_n) \cap (C \cup Q_n \cup P_n \cup \sigma^{-n}\Omega) \\ &= C \cup (\sigma^{-m}(\Omega \cup Q_n \cup P_n) \cap (Q_n \cup P_n \cup \sigma^{-n}\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

As

$$Q_n \cap C = Q_n \cap \sigma^{-m}(C) = \emptyset$$

for $n \geq n_1$, and $Q_n \cap \sigma^k(P_m) = \emptyset$ for all n, m, k by definition, we see that

$$Q_{n+m} \subseteq \sigma^{-m}(\Omega \cup Q_n) \cap (Q_n \cup \sigma^{-n}\Omega)$$

for $n \geq n_1$ and $m \geq 1$.

Choose k such that

$$(8.5) \quad \Omega \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \cdots \cap \sigma^{-k}\Omega = \emptyset.$$

Such k exists because, by transversality of the data $\mathbb{D}$, Ω contains no forward σ -orbits. Choose $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that if $n \geq n_2$, then we have for $i = 0, \dots, k$ that

$$Q_{n_1+i} \cap \sigma^{-(n-(n_1+i))}(Q_{n_1+i} \cup \Omega) = \emptyset.$$

We may do this because each finite set Q_{n_1+i} is supported on infinite orbits and $\{\sigma^n\Omega\}$ is critically transverse.

We have for $i = 0, \dots, k$ and for $r \geq n_2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_r &\subseteq \sigma^{-(r-(n_1+i))}(Q_{n_1+i} \cup \Omega) \cap (Q_{n_1+i} \cup \sigma^{-(n_1+i)}\Omega) \\ &\subseteq \sigma^{-(n_1+i)}\Omega \end{aligned}$$

and so $Q_r = \emptyset$ for $r \geq n_2$ by (8.5).

Finally, Ω does not contain any points of finite order, and by construction P_n is disjoint from C and from Q_n . Thus (8.4) implies that

$$P_{n+m} \subseteq P_n \cap \sigma^{-m}P_n$$

for all $n, m \geq n_1$. Thus there is some $n_3 \geq n_2$ such that P_{n_3} is σ -invariant, and $P_n = P_{n_3}$ if $n \geq n_3$. The result is proven for $m_1 := n_3$. $\square$

Notation 8.6. Let m_1 be the integer given by Proposition 8.3. Let $A := A_{m_1}$, $C := C_{m_1}$, and $P := P_{m_1}$. Recall that $Q_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq m_1$.

Corollary 8.7. *For $n \geq m_1$, the only curves in X_n that are contracted by γ_n are contained in the exceptional locus E_n of α_n . In particular, the map γ_n is finite at all points of U_n , and β_n is finite at all points of U .*

Proof. Suppose that $n \geq m_1$ and that γ_n contracts some irreducible curve Γ that is not contained in E_n . By assumption on the cardinality of $\mathbb{k}$, Γ meets U_n . By construction, $\Gamma \cap U_n \subseteq \alpha_n^{-1}C_n = \alpha_n^{-1}C$. Now, as α_n is an isomorphism away from E_n , the curve $\alpha_n^{-1}(C)$ is closed in X_n . Thus

$$\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma \cap U_n} \subseteq \overline{\alpha_n^{-1}(C)} = \alpha_n^{-1}C.$$

This means that $\alpha_n(\Gamma) \subseteq C \subset U$, so $\alpha_n(\Gamma)$ is disjoint from $\text{Bs}(\overline{R}_n)$. As β_n contracts the curve $\alpha_n(\Gamma)$, Lemma 5.11 implies that $\Delta_n \cdot \alpha_n(\Gamma) = 0$. This contradicts the ampleness of Δ_n by the Nakai-Moishezon criterion ([Har77, Theorem V.I.10]; see [Laz04, Theorem 1.2.23] for a reference that includes singular surfaces). As α_n is a local isomorphism at all points in U_n , the statement on β_n follows immediately. $\square$

We recall some terminology from commutative algebra. Let R be a commutative noetherian $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, and let T be its normalization. Recall that the S_2 -ification of R is the unique minimal $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra $S \subseteq T$ such that $R \subseteq S$ and S satisfies Serre's condition S_2 . More explicitly,

$$S = \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \text{Spec } R \\ \text{ht } P = 1}} R_P.$$

See [Kol85, Definition 2.2.2(ii)] and subsequent discussion.

We give a lemma on the domain of definition of birational maps of S_2 -ifications.

Lemma 8.8. *Let T be a normal commutative domain that is a finitely generated $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, and let $R, R' \subseteq T$ be finitely generated subalgebras so that T is the normalization of both R and R' . Let S , respectively S' , be the S_2 -ification of R , respectively R' . Suppose that the induced birational map*

$$\pi : \operatorname{Spec} R \dashrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R'$$

is defined away from a locus of codimension 2. Then the induced birational map

$$\zeta : \operatorname{Spec} S \dashrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} S'$$

is defined everywhere; that is, $S' \subseteq S$.

Proof. Because π is defined in codimension 2, for every height 1 prime P of R , π is defined at the generic point of $V(P) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$. That is, for every height 1 P , we have $R' \subseteq R_P$. Thus

$$R' \subseteq \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \operatorname{Spec} R \\ \operatorname{ht} P = 1}} R_P = S.$$

By minimality of the S_2 -ification, $S' \subseteq S$. □

Proposition 8.9. *If $n \gg 0$, then the rational maps $\pi_n^{n+1}, \rho_n^{n+1} : Y_{n+1} \rightarrow Y_n$ are local isomorphisms everywhere on the image of U ; in particular, they are defined everywhere on the image of U .*

Proof. We continue to let m_1 , A , C , and P be as in Notation 8.6. In particular, if $n \geq m_1$ then $\gamma_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a local isomorphism at all points in $U_n \setminus \alpha_n^{-1}(C \cup P)$.

Let $n \geq m_1$. Recall that if $x \in U \setminus \sigma^{-n}(\Omega)$, then π_n^{n+1} is defined at $\beta_{n+1}(x)$. As $\sigma^{-n}(\Omega)$ contains no points of finite order, π_n^{n+1} is defined at all points in $\beta_{n+1}(P)$. We saw in Corollary 8.7 that β_m is finite at all $p \in U$, and in particular, at all $p \in P$. By finiteness of the integral closure, there is some $m_2 \geq m_1$ so that if $n \geq m_2$, then π_n^{n+1} is a local isomorphism at all points in $\beta_{n+1}(P)$.

Let $n \geq m_2$. Now, let $x \in U \setminus A$. Then β_{n+1} and β_n are local isomorphisms at x , and thus by Lemma 8.2, β_{n+1}^{-1} is defined at $\beta_{n+1}(x)$. Thus $\pi_n^{n+1} = \beta_n \beta_{n+1}^{-1}$ is defined and is a local isomorphism at $\beta_{n+1}(x)$.

The only points where π_n^{n+1} may not be defined thus lie in $\beta_{n+1}(C \cap \sigma^{-n}(\Omega))$. If $x \in U \setminus (\sigma^{-n}(\Omega) \cap C)$, then π_n^{n+1} is defined and is a local isomorphism at $\beta_{n+1}(x)$.

The intersection $\sigma^{-n}(\Omega) \cap C$ is finite by transversality of Ω , since C is σ -invariant. Thus π_n^{n+1} is defined at the generic point of each component of $\beta_{n+1}(C)$. As γ_n is finite at each point of U_n , by finiteness of the integral closure there is $m_3 \geq m_2$ such that if $n \geq m_3$, then π_n^{n+1} is an isomorphism at the generic point of each component of $\beta_{n+1}(C)$.

For each $n \geq m_3$, let $\delta_n : Z_n \rightarrow Y_n$ be the projective variety obtained by taking the S_2 -ification of Y_n at all points in $\beta_n(C)$. Since X_n is normal, the birational morphism $\gamma_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ factors through Z_n . There are thus a birational morphism

$\epsilon_n : X_n \rightarrow Z_n$ and a birational map $\eta_n : X \rightarrow Z_n$ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_n & & \\ \alpha_n \swarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_n & \searrow \gamma_n & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\eta_n} & Z_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & Y_n \\ & \nwarrow \beta_n & & & \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, η_n is defined at all points of U .

Let $\zeta_n^{n+1} : Z_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_n$ be the induced birational map such that the diagram

$$(8.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \beta_{n+1} & & \\ & \swarrow & \text{---} & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{n+1}} & Z_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} & Y_{n+1} \\ & \nwarrow \eta_n & \downarrow \zeta_n^{n+1} & & \downarrow \pi_n^{n+1} \\ & & Z_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & Y_n \end{array}$$

commutes. We claim that for $n \geq m_3$, that the rational map ζ_n^{n+1} is defined at all points of $\eta_{n+1}(U)$.

Let $p \in U \setminus (C \cup P)$. Then β_{n+1} and therefore η_{n+1} is a local isomorphism at p , so, using Lemma 8.2, $\zeta_n^{n+1} = \eta_n \eta_{n+1}^{-1}$ is defined at $\eta_{n+1}(p)$.

If $p \in P$ and $\beta_{n+1}(p) \notin \beta_{n+1}(C)$, then by our choice of n , the map π_n^{n+1} is a local isomorphism at $\beta_{n+1}(p)$. Thus $\beta_n(p) \notin \beta_n(C)$. By construction δ_n is a local isomorphism at $\eta_n(p)$ and so $\zeta_n^{n+1} = \delta_n^{-1} \pi_n^{n+1} \delta_{n+1}$ is defined at $\eta_{n+1}(p)$.

Now let $p \in C$. We have seen that π_n^{n+1} is defined on $\beta_{n+1}(U)$, except at a 0-dimensional locus contained in $\beta_{n+1}(C)$. It follows from Lemma 8.8 that ζ_n^{n+1} is defined at $\eta_{n+1}(p)$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Using finiteness of the integral closure again, we may choose $m_4 \geq m_3$ so that ζ_n^{n+1} is a local isomorphism at all points of $\eta_{n+1}(U)$ for $n \geq m_4$. For $n \geq m_4$, let

$$F_n = \{p \in C \mid \delta_n \text{ is not a local isomorphism at } \eta_n(p)\}.$$

As δ_n is finite, the set F_n is finite. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 8.3, and using the maps ζ_n^{n+m} , we have that

$$F_{n+m} \subseteq (F_n \cup \sigma^{-n}(\Omega \cap C)) \cap \sigma^{-m}(F_n \cup (\Omega \cap C))$$

if $n \geq m_4$. Since $\Omega \cap C$ consists of finitely many points of infinite order, for $n, m \gg 0$ we have that

$$\sigma^{-n}(\Omega \cap C) \cap \sigma^{-m}(F_n \cup (\Omega \cap C)) = F_n \cap \sigma^{-m}(\Omega \cap C) = \emptyset.$$

Thus for $m, n \gg 0$, we have that

$$F_{n+m} \subseteq F_n \cap \sigma^{-m} F_m,$$

and so for $n \gg 0$, we have that $F_n = F_{n+1}$ is σ -invariant. In particular, $F_n \cap \sigma^{-n} \Omega = \emptyset$. This means that δ_{n+1} is a local isomorphism at all points of $\eta_{n+1}(\sigma^{-n} \Omega \cap U)$. By (8.10), $\pi_n^{n+1} = \delta_n \zeta_n^{n+1} \delta_{n+1}^{-1}$ is defined everywhere in $\beta_{n+1}(\sigma^{-n} \Omega \cap U)$. Thus π_n^{n+1} is defined everywhere in $\beta_{n+1}(U)$ for $n \geq m_4$.

The argument that for $n \gg 0$, ρ_n^{n+1} is defined everywhere on $\beta_{n+1}(U)$ is completely symmetric. By finiteness of the integral closure, we see that for $n \gg 0$ both π_n^{n+1} and ρ_n^{n+1} are local isomorphisms at every point of $\beta_{n+1}(U)$. $\square$

We establish some more notation, which we will use in the next few results.

Notation 8.11. Assume Assumption-Notation 7.1. Let m be such that for $n \geq m - 1$, the rational maps π_n^{n+1} and ρ_n^{n+1} are defined and are local isomorphisms at every point in $\gamma_{n+1}(U)$. We call Y_m a *stable scheme* for R . Let $C \subset U$ be the σ -invariant curve where γ_m is not a local isomorphism, and let $P \subset U$ be the σ -invariant 0-dimensional subscheme where γ_m is not a local isomorphism. Let F be the σ -invariant subset of C that maps onto points where Y_m does not satisfy S_2 .

For all $n \geq 2$, we define a birational automorphism τ_n of Y_n by setting

$$\tau_n := (\pi_{n-1}^n)^{-1} \rho_{n-1}^n.$$

By construction, $\tau_n \gamma_n = \gamma_n \sigma$ as birational maps. Proposition 8.9 implies that for $n \geq m$, τ_n is an automorphism of $\gamma_n(U_n)$.

For all $n, m \geq 1$, we define birational maps

$$p_n^{n+m}, r_n^{n+m} : X_{n+m} \rightarrow X_n,$$

where $p_n^{n+m} := (\alpha_n)^{-1} \alpha_{n+m}$ and $r_n^{n+m} := \alpha_n^{-1} \sigma^m \alpha_{n+m}$. By construction, we have

$$\pi_n^{n+m} \gamma_{n+m} = \gamma_n p_n^{n+m}$$

and

$$\rho_n^{n+m} \gamma_{n+m} = \gamma_n r_n^{n+m}$$

as birational maps from X_{n+m} to Y_n .

Recall that W is the cosupport of $\mathcal{ADC}$, that $\mathbb{W} = \bigcup_{p \in W} O(p)$ and that $U = X \setminus \mathbb{W}$. The map β_m is defined and finite at every point of U . Heuristically, it may fold U along C or pinch U into a cusp at some point of P . At points of F , β_m does some additional cusping, since there Y_m fails S_2 . We will construct a finite morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$ by cusping and folding U along C , P , and F , and gluing in the points of $\mathbb{W}$.

There is one technicality still to dispose of: in order to glue as described, we need the sets

$$\gamma_m(U_m) = \beta_m(U)$$

and

$$\gamma_m(\alpha_m^{-1}(\mathbb{W}))$$

to be disjoint, at least for large m . Proving this is the content of the next few results.

Ideally, this result would be a consequence of some sort of ampleness statement, since we are trying to show, roughly speaking, that the γ_m separate points of X_m for m large. Unfortunately, we have not been able to prove this directly. Instead, we analyze in detail how our various birational maps affect $\mathbb{W}$. To do this, we establish more notation.

Notation 8.12. Fix $w \in W$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $w_n := \sigma^{-n}(w)$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{X,w}$ and identify all $\mathcal{O}_{X,w_n}$ with $\mathcal{O}$ as usual. Let $\mathfrak{a} := (\mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A})_w$, let $\mathfrak{c} := \mathcal{C}_w$, and let $\mathfrak{d} := \mathcal{D}_w$.

Let $\mathfrak{m}_i^n$ be the germ of $\mathcal{R}_n \mathcal{L}_n^{-1}$ at w_i , regarded as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}$, as usual. By assumption, we have:

- $\mathfrak{m}_0^n = \mathfrak{a}$;
- if $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ then $\mathfrak{m}_i^n = \mathfrak{d}$;
- $\mathfrak{m}_n^n = \mathfrak{c}$; and

- if $i < 0$ or $i > n$ then $\mathfrak{m}_{i+j}^n = \mathcal{O}$

for all $n \geq 1$.

We define subschemes

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}} := \alpha_2^{-1}(w_0),$$

$$E_{\mathfrak{d}} := \alpha_2^{-1}(w_1),$$

and

$$E_{\mathfrak{c}} := \alpha_2^{-1}(w_2)$$

of X_2 . That is, $E_{\mathfrak{d}}$ is the exceptional locus obtained by blowing up the ideal $\mathfrak{d}$ and normalizing, and similarly for $E_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $E_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

For any $n \geq 1$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $E_i^n \subseteq Y_n$ by

$$(8.13) \quad E_i^n := \gamma_n(\alpha_n^{-1}(w_i)).$$

Lemma 8.14. *Let $w \in W$. Then for all $n, m \geq 1$ the map p_n^{n+m} is defined at all points in $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(O(w) \setminus \{w_n\})$, and is a local isomorphism at all points in $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(O(w) \setminus \{w_n, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_{n+m}\})$. Likewise, r_n^{n+m} is defined at all points in $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(O(w) \setminus \{w_m\})$ and is a local isomorphism at all points in $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(O(w) \setminus \{w_0, \dots, w_m\})$.*

Proof. Fix $n, m \geq 1$ and let $w \in W$. By definition, $\text{Supp } W_n \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_n\}$, and so the map α_n is a local isomorphism at all points in $\alpha_n^{-1}(O(w) \setminus \{w_0, \dots, w_n\})$. Furthermore, as $\mathfrak{m}_0^n = \mathfrak{a}$, we have that $\alpha_n^{-1}(w_0) \cong E_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Likewise, $\alpha_n^{-1}(w_n) \cong E_{\mathfrak{c}}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\alpha_n^{-1}(w_i) \cong E_{\mathfrak{d}}$.

Therefore, if $i < 0$ or $i > n+m$, then $p_n^{n+m} = \alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_{n+m}$ is defined and is a local isomorphism at the point $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$. For $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the stalks $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n+m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_i^n$ are isomorphic. Thus $\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_{n+m}$ extends to a map that is defined and a local isomorphism at all points of $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$. For $n+1 \leq i \leq n+m$, α_n^{-1} is defined at w_i , so p_n^{n+1} is defined on $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$, although it is not necessarily a local isomorphism.

We repeat this analysis for the maps r_n^{n+m} . If $i < 0$ or $i > n+m$, then α_{n+m} is a local isomorphism at the point $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$, and α_n^{-1} is defined (and is thus a local isomorphism) at $w_{i-m} = \sigma^m(w_i)$. Thus $r_n^{n+m} = \alpha_n^{-1}\sigma^m\alpha_{n+m}$ is a local isomorphism at $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$. If $m+1 \leq i \leq n+m$, then $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i) \cong \alpha_n^{-1}(w_{i-m})$ and r_n^{n+m} extends to a local isomorphism at all points in $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$. Finally, if $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, then α_n^{-1} is defined at w_{i-m} and so r_n^{n+m} is defined on $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$. $\square$

Lemma 8.15. *Let $w \in W$.*

- (1) *For $m, n \geq 1$, if $i \neq n$ then π_n^{n+m} is defined at all points in $E_i^{n+m} = \gamma_{n+m}(\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i))$, and $\pi_n^{n+m}(E_i^{n+m}) = E_i^n$.*
- (2) *For $m, n \geq 1$, if $i \neq m$ then ρ_n^{n+m} is defined at all points in $E_i^{n+m} = \gamma_{n+m}(\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i))$, and $\rho_n^{n+m}(E_i^{n+m}) = E_{i-m}^n$.*

Proof. (1) On X_{n+m} , the rational functions in $\overline{R}_{n+m}$ define the morphism

$$\gamma_{n+m} : X_{n+m} \rightarrow Y_{n+m}.$$

The rational map induced by $\overline{R}_n$ is easily seen to be

$$\gamma_n p_n^{n+m} = \pi_n^{n+m} \gamma_{n+m} : X_{n+m} \dashrightarrow Y_n,$$

and the rational map induced by $\overline{R}_m^{\sigma^n}$ is

$$\gamma_m r_m^{n+m} = \rho_m^{n+m} \gamma_{n+m} : X_{n+m} \dashrightarrow Y_m.$$

If $i \neq n$, then p_n^{n+m} and r_m^{n+m} are defined at all points in $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$.

We wish to apply Lemma 5.13. To do so, we must calculate the divisors and base loci on X_{n+m} associated to the vector spaces $\overline{R}_{n+m}$, $\overline{R}_n$, and $\overline{R}_m^{\sigma^n}$.

For $0 \leq i \leq m+n$, let F_i be the effective exceptional Weil divisor $\alpha_{n+m}^{-1}(w_i)$. Now, $\mathcal{I}_{F_i}$ is the expansion of $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n+m}$ to X_{n+m} . By [Har77, Proposition 7.1], the expansion of $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n+m}$ to X'_{n+m} is Cartier; the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{F_i}$ is its pullback to X_{n+m} and is thus also Cartier.

By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$D^{X_{n+m}}(\overline{R}_{n+m}) = \alpha_{n+m}^* D_{n+m} - F_0 - \cdots - F_{n+m}.$$

Let $G_3 := D^{X_{n+m}}(\overline{R}_{n+m})$. Let

$$G_1 := \alpha_{n+m}^* D_n - F_0 - \cdots - F_{n-1},$$

and let

$$G_2 := \alpha_{n+m}^* \sigma^{-n} D_m - F_{n+1} \cdots - F_{n+m}.$$

By assumption, $G_1 - D^{X_{n+m}}(\overline{R}_n)$ and $G_2 - D^{X_{n+m}}(\overline{R}_m^{\sigma^n})$ are both effective and supported on F_n . That is, the base locus of the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ with respect to the Cartier divisor G_1 is contained in F_n . Likewise, the base locus of the rational functions in $\overline{R}_m^{\sigma^n}$ with respect to the Cartier divisor G_2 is also contained in F_n .

We now apply Lemma 5.13 to the multiplication $\overline{R}_n \overline{R}_m^{\sigma^n} \subseteq \overline{R}_{n+m}$. We have that

$$G_3 - G_1 - G_2 = -F_n + \alpha_{n+m}^* \sigma^{-n} \Omega.$$

Now, $\sigma^{-n} \Omega \cap O(w) \subseteq \{w_n\}$. Thus by Lemma 5.13, the rational map

$$\pi_n^{n+m} = (\pi_n^{n+m} \gamma_{n+m}) \gamma_{n+m}^{-1} : Y_{n+m} - - \twoheadrightarrow Y_n$$

is defined at every point of $\gamma_{n+m}(F_i)$ for every $i \neq n$. That is, if $i \neq n$, then π_n^{n+m} is defined at all points of E_i^{n+m} . That $\pi_n^{n+m}(E_i^{n+m}) = E_i^n$ is immediate.

The proof of (2) is symmetric: we use the multiplication $\overline{R}_m \overline{R}_n^{\sigma^m} \subseteq \overline{R}_{n+m}$. $\square$

We will use the combinatorial Lemma 6.6 to study π_n^{n+m} and ρ_n^{n+m} for large n .

Definition 8.16. Let X be a scheme and let $E \subset X$ be a proper closed subscheme of X . A *birational image* of E is an open neighborhood U of E in X , together with a proper birational morphism

$$\phi : U \rightarrow V$$

to some scheme V , such that $\phi|_{U \setminus E}$ is an isomorphism onto its image.

We put a preorder $\succsim$ on the collection of birational local images of E as follows. Let $\phi_1 : U_1 \rightarrow V_1$ and $\phi_2 : U_2 \rightarrow V_2$ be two birational local images of E . Then we say that ϕ_1 *covers* ϕ_2 and write $\phi_1 \succsim \phi_2$ if there is an open neighborhood V' of $\phi_1(E)$ and a morphism $\psi : V' \rightarrow V_2$ so that $\psi \phi_1 = \phi_2$ as birational maps from U to V_2 . If $\phi_1 \succsim \phi_2 \succsim \phi_1$, we say that $\phi_1 \approx \phi_2$. The *local images* of E are the $\approx$ -congruence classes of birational images of E ; we denote the partial order on local images of E induced by $\succsim$ by $\geq$.

Lemma 8.17. *Let E be a proper closed subscheme of X and let $\mathbb{M}$ be a collection of local images of E . Then $(\mathbb{M}, \geq)$ satisfies the ascending chain condition.*

Proof. Let $\{\phi_n : X \dashrightarrow V_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of representatives of local images of E . Then there are birational maps $\psi_n : V_n \dashrightarrow V_{n-1}$, defined on a neighborhood of $\phi_n(E)$, so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & V_n \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \psi_n \\ & \phi_{n-1} & V_{n-1} \end{array}$$

commutes for all n . In particular, we have

$$\dim(\phi_1(E)) \leq \dim(\phi_2(E)) \leq \cdots \leq \dim(E).$$

Therefore $\dim \phi_n(E)$ is equal to some constant d for $n \geq n_0$.

Let $n \geq n_0$ and choose $h \leq \dim E$. Let W_n^h be the set of $x \in E$ so that there is an irreducible component of $\phi_n^{-1}\phi_n(x)$ of dimension $\geq h$. Now,

$$\phi_{n+1}^{-1}\phi_{n+1}(x) \subseteq \phi_{n+1}^{-1}\psi_{n+1}^{-1}\psi_{n+1}\phi_{n+1}(x) = \phi_{n+1}^{-1}\psi_{n+1}^{-1}\phi_n(x) = \phi_n^{-1}\phi_n(x).$$

Thus $W_{n+1}^h \subseteq W_n^h$. There is thus some $n_1 \geq n_0$ so that for $n \geq n_1$, we have $W_n^h = W_{n+1}^h$ for all $0 \leq h \leq \dim E$. We claim that for $n > n_1$, ψ_n is finite.

To see this, suppose the claim is false. Then there is a positive-dimensional irreducible subscheme C in $\phi_n(E)$ that ψ_n contracts to a point. Let $e := \dim \phi_n^{-1}(C) - \dim C$ and let $f := \dim \phi_n^{-1}(C)$; note that $f > e$. Now, a generic point in $\phi_n^{-1}(C)$ is in W_n^e but not in W_n^f . However, we have $\phi_n^{-1}(C) \subseteq W_{n-1}^f$. But $n-1 \geq n_1$ so $W_n^f = W_{n-1}^f$. This gives a contradiction.

Thus for $n \geq n_0$ there are open neighborhoods V'_n of $\phi_n(E)$ so that $\psi_n(V'_n) \subseteq V'_{n-1}$ and so that $\psi_n|_{V'_n}$ is finite and birational. By finiteness of the integral closure, for $n \gg 0$ the map ψ_n is a local isomorphism at all points of $\phi_n(E)$; that is, for $n \gg 0$ we have $\phi_n \approx \phi_{n+1}$ as claimed. $\square$

Lemma 8.18. *Let $w \in W$. Define E_i^n as in (8.13). Then there are integers n_1 and $b \geq 1$ so that:*

(1) *If $n \geq n_1$ and $i \leq n - b$ then for all $m \geq 1$, π_n^{n+m} is a local isomorphism at all points of E_i^{n+m} .*

(2) *If $n \geq n_1$ then for all $m \geq 1$ and $i \geq m + b$, ρ_n^{n+m} is a local isomorphism at all points of E_i^{n+m} .*

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $m = 1$. Our key claim is that the $\{E_i^n \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ are a set of birational local images of E_∂ that satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 6.6.

More precisely, fix $i \geq 1$ and $n \geq i+1$. By Lemma 8.14, the birational map

$$\alpha_n^{-1}\sigma^{-(i-1)}\alpha_2 = (p_{i+1}^n)^{-1}(r_2^{i+1})^{-1} : X_2 \dashrightarrow X_n$$

is defined and is a local isomorphism in a neighborhood of E_∂ . Let

$$a_i^n := \gamma_n \alpha_n^{-1} \sigma^{-(i-1)} \alpha_2 : X_2 \dashrightarrow Y_n.$$

This maps E_∂ onto E_i^n and is a birational local image of E_∂ .

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & a_i^{n+1} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \text{---} & \searrow & \\
 X_2 & \text{---} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n+1}} & Y_{n+1} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow p_n^{n+1} & & \downarrow \pi_n^{n+1} \\
 & & X_n & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} & Y_n \\
 & \swarrow & a_i^n & & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 8.15, π_n^{n+1} is defined on E_i^{n+1} , so $a_i^{n+1} \succcurlyeq a_i^n$. Likewise, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_2 & \text{---} & Y_{n+1} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \rho_n^{n+1} \\
 & & Y_n
 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 8.15, ρ_n^{n+1} is defined on E_{i+1}^{n+1} . Thus $a_{i+1}^{n+1} \succcurlyeq a_i^n$.

Let $\mathbb{M} := \{a_i^n \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$. By Lemma 8.17, $(\mathbb{M}, \succcurlyeq)$ satisfies the ascending chain condition. Applying Lemma 6.6, we see that there are some N, b so that if $n \geq N$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-b$, then $a_i^n \approx a_i^{n-1}$ (as local images of E_d), and if $b \leq j \leq n-1$ then $a_i^n \approx a_{i-1}^{n-1}$. That is, if $1 \leq j \leq n-b$, then π_{n-1}^n is a local isomorphism at all points of E_j^n , and if $b \leq j \leq n-1$ then ρ_{n-1}^n is a local isomorphism at E_j^n .

Now let $i \leq 0$. Note that a_1^n is defined on $\alpha_2^{-1}(w_i)$ for all $n \geq 2$. Further, if a_1^2 is a local isomorphism at $\alpha_2^{-1}(w_i)$, then so are all a_1^n . There are finitely many $i \leq 0$ where a_1^2 is not a local isomorphism at $\alpha_2^{-1}(w_i)$. Since $\pi_{n-1}^n a_1^n = a_1^{n-1}$, we see that $a_1^n \succcurlyeq a_1^{n-1}$ as local images of $\alpha_2^{-1}(w_i)$. Thus by increasing N if necessary, we may ensure that π_n^{n+1} is a local isomorphism at E_j^{n+1} for all $n \geq N$ and $j \leq n-b$. Likewise, and again increasing N if needed, we may ensure that ρ_n^{n+1} is a local isomorphism at E_j^{n+1} for all $n \geq N$ and $j \geq b$. $\square$

We are finally ready to prove:

Proposition 8.19. *Assume Assumption-Notation 7.1 and Notation 8.11. For all $n \gg 0$, the sets $\gamma_n(\alpha_n^{-1}(\mathbb{W}))$ and $\gamma_n(U_n)$ are disjoint.*

Proof. Let $w \in W$, and adopt Notation 8.12. Let n_1 and b be the integers constructed in Lemma 8.18; let $N \geq \max\{n_1, 2b\}$ be such that for $n \geq N$, τ_n is an automorphism of $\gamma_n(U_n)$. This exists by Proposition 8.9.

Suppose there is some $e \in E_N$ and $u \in U_N$ such that $\gamma_N(e) = \gamma_N(u) = x$. As e and u are in different connected components of $\gamma_N^{-1}(x)$, clearly x is of finite order, say k , under τ . Let i be such that $\alpha_N(e) = w_i$.

First suppose that $i \leq N-b$. If $i \geq 0$, let $n := N + (i+1)k$; if $i < 0$, let $n := N + k$. As $i < N < n$, $(p_N^n)^{-1}$ is defined at e ; let $e' := (p_N^n)^{-1}(e)$. Let $u' := (p_N^n)^{-1}(u)$. Then

$$\pi_N^n \gamma_n(u') = \gamma_N p_N^n(u') = x = \gamma_N p_N^n(e') = \pi_N^n \gamma_n(e').$$

Note that $i < N$, so π_N^n is defined at $\gamma_n(e')$. By the choice of N and n , π_N^n is one-to-one on $\gamma_n(U_n) \cup E_i^n$ and so

$$\gamma_n(e') = \gamma_n(u').$$

But now, as $(\tau_N)^k(x) = x$, we have

$$x = \pi_N^n \gamma_n(e') = \pi_N^n (\tau_N)^{n-N} \gamma_n(e') = \rho_N^n \gamma_n(e') = \gamma_N r_N^n(e').$$

Our assumption on i ensures that $n - N \neq i$ and so $r_N^n(e')$ is well-defined. As

$$\alpha_N r_N^n(e') = w_{i-(n-N)},$$

we see that $r_N^n(e') \notin \{e', u\}$. We have produced a new point in $\gamma_N^{-1}(x)$. Continuing, we may produce infinitely many such points, which is impossible. Thus $i > N - b$.

Arguing symmetrically, we obtain that $i < b$. Since $N \geq 2b$, we see that no such e can exist. $\square$

We now construct Y .

Theorem 8.20. *Assume Assumption-Notation 7.1. Then there are a projective variety Y and a finite birational morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all $n \gg 0$ the rational map from Y to $\mathbb{P}^{N_n}$ induced by the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ is a closed immersion at every point of $Y \setminus \theta(W)$. Further, there are a numerically trivial automorphism ϕ of Y such that $\theta\sigma = \phi\theta$, an ample and ϕ -ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Y so that $\theta^*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}$, and a locally principal subscheme Φ of Y so that $\Omega = \theta^*\Phi$. For this Y , $\mathcal{M}$, and ϕ , we have $R \subseteq B(Y, \mathcal{M}, \phi)$. For $n \gg 0$, the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ correspond to sections of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{I}_\Phi \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}^\phi \cdots \mathcal{M}^{\phi^{n-1}}$, and their base locus is equal (set-theoretically) to $\theta(W_n)$.*

Proof. We continue to use Notation 8.1 and Notation 8.11, so m is such that Y_m is stable, and $C \cup P$ is the subset of U on which β_m is not a local isomorphism. By Proposition 8.19, by increasing m if necessary we may assume also that

$$(8.21) \quad \gamma_m(\alpha_m^{-1}(W)) \cap \gamma_m(U_m) = \emptyset.$$

Let τ be the birational automorphism τ_m of Y_m .

Let $H \subseteq W$ be the set

$$\{x \in W \mid \text{either } \beta_m \text{ is undefined at } x \text{ or } \beta_m \text{ is not a local isomorphism at } x\}.$$

Thus $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$ is the finite set of “bad points” of β_m that do not lie on $C \cup P$. Let $G := \alpha_m^{-1}(H)$.

We claim that the sets $\beta_m(U \setminus (C \cup P))$, $\beta_m(C \cup P)$, $\gamma_m(G) = \gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(H)$, and $\gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(W \setminus H)$ are pairwise disjoint. To see this, recall that β_m is a local isomorphism at all points of $X \setminus (C \cup P \cup H)$. Thus if $x \in U \setminus (C \cup P)$, then β_m^{-1} is defined at $\beta_m(x)$. As α_m^{-1} is defined at x , if $x' \in X_m$ with $\gamma_m(x') = \beta_m(x)$, then $x' = \alpha_m^{-1}(x)$. Thus $\beta_m(U \setminus (C \cup P))$ is disjoint from the other three sets. That $\beta_m(C \cup P)$ is disjoint from the other sets follows; recall that $\beta_m(U) \cap \gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$.

If $x \in \alpha_m^{-1}(W \setminus H)$ and $x' \in \alpha_m^{-1}(W)$ with $\gamma_m(x) = \gamma_m(x')$, then note that β_m^{-1} is defined at $\gamma_m(x)$. Therefore,

$$\alpha_m(x') = \beta_m^{-1} \gamma_m(x) = \alpha_m(x)$$

and $x' \notin \alpha_m^{-1}(H)$. This completes the proof of the claim.

To construct Y , let

$$V_1 := X \setminus (C \cup P)$$

and let

$$V_2 := Y_m \setminus \gamma_m(G).$$

Let $V_{12} := V_1 \cap \alpha_m \gamma_m^{-1}(V_2)$, and let $V_{21} = V_2 \cap \gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(V_1)$. By the claim just previous, $V_{12} = V_1 \setminus H$ and $V_{21} = V_2 \setminus \beta_m(C \cup P)$. Further, $\beta_m(V_{12}) = V_{21}$; note that β_m is defined and is a local isomorphism at all $x \in V_{12}$.

As β_m defines a bijection between V_{12} and V_{21} that is a local isomorphism at each point, it is an isomorphism between V_{12} and V_{21} . By [Har77, Example 2.3.5] there is a scheme Y given by glueing V_1 and V_2 along the isomorphism $\beta_m : V_{12} \rightarrow V_{21}$. For $i = 1, 2$ let ψ_i be the induced map from V_i to Y .

We now construct the automorphism ϕ of Y . Let

$$V_{22} := V_2 \setminus \gamma_m(\alpha_m^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(H))).$$

We define morphisms

$$\phi_1 := \psi_1 \sigma : V_1 \rightarrow Y,$$

$$\phi_{21} := \psi_1 \sigma \beta_m^{-1} : V_{21} \rightarrow Y,$$

and

$$\phi_{22} := \psi_2 \tau : V_{22} \rightarrow Y.$$

We check that ϕ_1 , ϕ_{21} , and ϕ_{22} are well-defined; that is, that they are in fact morphisms. First, V_1 is σ -invariant by construction, so $\sigma(V_1) \subseteq V_1$ and ϕ_1 is well-defined. Since $\beta_m^{-1}(V_{21}) = V_{12} \subseteq V_1$, ϕ_{21} is also well-defined. Now, if $y \in V_{22} \cap \gamma_m(U_m)$, then, using (8.21), we have that $\tau(y) \in \gamma_m(U_m) \subseteq V_2$ and so ϕ_{22} is defined at y . Finally, if $y \in V_{22} \cap \gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(\mathbb{W})$, then β_m^{-1} is defined at y . Let $x = \beta_m^{-1}(y) \in \mathbb{W} \setminus H \setminus \sigma^{-1}(H)$. As $\sigma(x) \notin H$, the map $\tau = \beta_m \sigma \beta_m^{-1}$ is defined at y . Further, β_m is a local isomorphism at $\sigma(x)$, and so $\beta_m \sigma(x) \notin \gamma_m(G)$ and $\tau(y) \in V_2$. Thus ψ_2 is defined at $\tau(y)$.

We next claim that $V_{21} \cup V_{22} = V_2$. To see this, let $y \in V_2 \setminus V_{22} = \gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(H)) \cap V_2$. Then there is $x \in \sigma^{-1}(H)$ so that $y \in \gamma_m \alpha_m^{-1}(x)$; as $y \in V_2$, therefore $x \notin H$. As x is certainly not in $C \cup P$, we see that $x \in V_{12}$, and $\beta_m(x) = y \in V_{21}$.

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & V_2 & \\
 \sigma \beta_m^{-1} \swarrow & & \searrow \tau \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\beta_m} & Y_m \\
 \psi_1 \searrow & & \swarrow \psi_2 \\
 & Y &
 \end{array}$$

of rational maps commutes by construction. Note that the left side of this diagram gives ϕ_{21} and the right side gives ϕ_{22} , considered as rational maps from V_2 to Y . Thus ϕ_{21} and ϕ_{22} agree where both are defined; in particular, they agree on $V_{21} \cap V_{22}$. By [Har77, page 88], the morphisms ϕ_{21} and ϕ_{22} glue to give a birational morphism $\phi_2 : V_2 \rightarrow Y$. It is clear that $\phi_1 = \phi_2 \beta_m$ on V_{12} , and so ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 glue via $\beta_m : V_{12} \rightarrow V_{21}$ to give a morphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$. As ϕ is a local isomorphism at every point of Y , it is an automorphism of Y by Lemma 8.2.

Now let $V_3 := X \setminus H$. Note that β_m is defined on V_3 , and $\beta_m(V_3) = V_2$, by (8.21). Define

$$\psi_3 := \psi_2 \beta_m : V_3 \rightarrow Y.$$

Now, $V_3 \cup V_1 = X$, and $V_3 \cap V_1 = V_{12}$. By construction, $\psi_3 = \psi_1$ on V_{12} . Thus we may glue ψ_1 and ψ_3 to obtain a morphism

$$\theta : X \rightarrow Y.$$

Clearly $\theta\sigma = \phi\theta$. Furthermore, as both ψ_3 and ψ_1 are finite maps, θ is finite.

Clearly Y is integral. We claim that Y is also separated. To see this, consider the diagonal $\Delta_Y = \{(y, y)\} \subseteq Y \times Y$. This is the image of the diagonal $\Delta_X \subseteq X \times X$ under the finite morphism $\theta \times \theta$. As X is separated, Δ_X is closed. By [Har77, Exercise 3.5] the finite morphism $\theta \times \theta$ is closed. Thus Δ_Y is also closed, and so Y is separated. Thus Y is a variety.

For all $n \geq 1$, the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ induce a rational map $\mu_n : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_n}$ for appropriate N_n . By construction, for $n \geq m$, the indeterminacy locus of μ_n is equal to $\theta(W_n)$. In particular, it is contained in $\theta(\mathbb{W})$ and so supported at smooth points of Y . Further, note that if $n \geq m$ and $x \in \theta(U)$, that locally at x the rational map μ_n factors through the local isomorphism

$$(\pi_m^n)^{-1}\psi_2^{-1} : Y \dashrightarrow Y_n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{N_n}.$$

Thus μ_n is locally a closed immersion at any point of $Y \setminus \theta(\mathbb{W})$.

By resolving the indeterminacy locus of μ_n , we obtain a variety Y'_n , a morphism $\xi_n : Y'_n \rightarrow Y$ and a morphism $\nu_n : Y'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_n}$ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y'_n & & \\ \xi_n \downarrow & \searrow \nu_n & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\mu_n} & \mathbb{P}^{N_n} \end{array}$$

commutes. For all n , let $\mathcal{N}_n := \nu_n^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ and let

$$\mathcal{K}_n := ((\xi_n)_* \mathcal{N}_n)^{**}.$$

Away from the indeterminacy locus of μ_n , $\mathcal{K}_n$ is isomorphic to $\mu_n^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ and is invertible. As any rank 1 reflexive module over a regular local ring is invertible, $\mathcal{K}_n$ is invertible on the indeterminacy locus of μ_n as well, and therefore is an invertible sheaf on Y for all $n \geq m$. Thus $\overline{R}_n \subseteq H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_n)$, and the (set-theoretic) base locus of the sections $\overline{R}_n$ of $\mathcal{K}_n$ is precisely $\theta(W_n)$ for $n \geq m$.

For $n \geq m$, consider the Weil divisor corresponding to the invertible sheaf $\theta^* \mathcal{K}_n$ on X . Away from the finitely many points in W_n , this is equal to $\Delta_n - \Omega$. As X is smooth at all points of W_n , by extending this equality to all of X , we obtain that

$$\mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta_n - \Omega) = \theta^* \mathcal{K}_n$$

for $n \geq m$.

Let $\mathcal{M} := (\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{K}_{m+1})^{-1})^{\phi^{-m}}$, and let $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{K}_m^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M} \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\phi^{m-1}}$. Then

$$\theta^* \mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_m)(\mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_{m+1})^{-1})^{\sigma^{-m}} \cong (\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^m})^{\sigma^{-m}} \cong \mathcal{L},$$

and

$$\theta^* \mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{L}_m)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_m \cong (\mathcal{I}_\Omega)^{-1}.$$

As $\theta^* \mathcal{Z}$ is an effective Cartier divisor, so is $\mathcal{Z}$; that is, $\mathcal{Z}^{-1}$ is an ideal sheaf defining a locally principal curve on Y . We will denote this curve by Φ ; by construction, $\theta^* \Phi = \Omega$. Note that $\mathcal{K}_n \cong \mathcal{I}_\Phi \mathcal{M}_n$.

Recall that $\mathcal{L}$ is ample. As θ is finite, $\mathcal{M}$ is ample by [Gro61, Proposition 2.6.2]. Thus Y carries an ample line bundle and so is projective. The numeric action of ϕ is clearly still trivial, and so $\mathcal{M}$ is also ϕ -ample by [AV90, Theorem 1.7]. We have $\overline{R}_n \subseteq H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_n) \subseteq H^0(Y, \mathcal{M}_n)$. $\square$

We remark that the fact that Y is a projective variety may also be deduced from [RS06, Proposition 7.4].

9. SURJECTIVITY IN LARGE DEGREE

Let $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ be transverse surface data, and suppose that $R \subseteq T(\mathbb{D})$ is a graded ring. In this section, we digress for a moment to establish sufficient conditions for R and $T(\mathbb{D})$ to be equal in large degree. Our methods involve reducing the question to one involving subrings of twisted homogeneous coordinate rings of σ -invariant curves on X . We wish to use the results of [AS95] on subrings of idealizers on curves; however, as those were proved only for reduced and irreducible curves, we repeat the proofs here in a more general context.

Theorem 9.1. *Suppose that the surface data*

$$\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$$

is transverse. Let $T := T(\mathbb{D})$ and let $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D})$. Let R be a subalgebra of T with $R_1 \neq 0$, and fix $0 \neq z \in R_1$. Let $\overline{R}_n := R_n z^{-1}$ and let $\mathcal{R}_n(X) := \overline{R}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_n(X) = \mathcal{T}_n$ for $n \gg 0$. Let W be the cosupport of $\mathcal{ADC}$ and let

$$\mathbb{W} := \bigcup_{p \in W} \mathcal{O}(p).$$

Further assume that for all $n \gg 0$, the rational map defined on X by the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ is birational onto its image and is a closed immersion at each point in $X \setminus \mathbb{W}$. Then $R_n = \mathcal{T}_n$ for $n \gg 0$.

We will prove Theorem 9.1 in several steps. We first establish some notation. If Γ is a σ -invariant proper subscheme of X , then σ restricts to an automorphism of Γ , which we also denote by σ . For any such Γ , let $B_\Gamma = B(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}|_\Gamma, \sigma)$. We may consider T and R to be subrings of $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$; we will let T_Γ , respectively R_Γ , be the image of T , respectively R , under the natural map from $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$ to B_Γ .

Proof of Theorem 9.1. By Lemma 2.9, the sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{R}_n)_{\sigma^n}\}$ is left and right ample; thus by Lemma 7.4, T is a finitely generated left and right R -module. Let $J_l := \text{l.ann}_R(T/R)$ and let $J_r := \text{r.ann}_R(T/R)$. Note that J_l is a graded right ideal of T and that J_r is a graded left ideal of T . Our assumptions imply that R and T have the same graded quotient ring, and thus J_l and J_r are nonzero. Let $K := J_r J_l$. Then $K \neq 0$ is a nonzero graded ideal both of R and of T . Note also that by Theorem 2.8, both $\mathcal{T}$ and T are noetherian.

By [Sie09, Proposition 4.10], there is a σ -invariant ideal sheaf $\mathcal{K}$ on X such that for $n \gg 0$, we have $K_n = H^0(X, \mathcal{K} \mathcal{R}_n) z^n$. Let Γ be the σ -invariant closed subscheme defined by $\mathcal{K}$; then $\dim \Gamma \leq 1$. By transversality of the defining data for $\mathcal{R}$, the σ -invariant subscheme Γ is disjoint from $\mathbb{W}$, and $\Omega \cap \Gamma$ consists of points of infinite order. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the ideal sheaf on Γ of the scheme-theoretic intersection $\Omega \cap \Gamma$. Since Ω is locally principal, $\mathcal{R}_n|_\Gamma = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}_n|_\Gamma)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Note that R/K and R_Γ are equal in large degree, and T/K and T_Γ are equal in large degree. Note also that as for $n \gg 0$ the rational functions in $\overline{R}_n$ define a

closed immersion at all points of $X \setminus \mathbb{W}$, that their restrictions to $\Gamma \subseteq X \setminus \mathbb{W}$ also define a closed immersion for $n \gg 0$.

We claim that R_Γ and T_Γ are equal in large degree. Before proving this claim, we give a lemma generalizing a result of Artin and Stafford.

Lemma 9.2. (cf. [AS95, Lemma 4.6]) *Suppose, in addition, that there are no proper σ -invariant subschemes Y of Γ so that $(T_Y)/(R_Y)$ is infinite-dimensional, and that there are σ -invariant ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ so that $\mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2 \cdots \mathcal{I}_\ell = 0$ on Γ . Then T_Γ/R_Γ is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [AS95, Lemma 4.6]; we give it in detail because some of the details are different in our more general context.

Suppose, in contrast, that T_Γ/R_Γ is infinite-dimensional. We first note that if J is a nonzero graded ideal of T_Γ , then there is a graded ideal $J' \supseteq K$ of T so that $J = J'/K$ in large degree. By [Sie09, Proposition 4.10], in large degree J' consists of sections of $\mathcal{R}_n$ that vanish on some σ -invariant proper subscheme Y of Γ , and so (in large degree) $T_\Gamma/J = T_Y$. As R_Y and T_Y are equal in large degree but R_Γ and T_Γ are not equal in large degree, $J \not\subseteq R_\Gamma$.

Thus R_Γ and T_Γ have no nonzero ideals in common. By induction, we may assume that $\ell = 2$. Let Z_1 and Z_2 , respectively, be the subschemes of Y defined by $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{L}|_\Gamma$ and let $B := B(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}, \sigma)$. For $i = 1, 2$, let

$$K_i := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{I}_i \mathcal{M}_n) z^n \subseteq B,$$

and let $M_i := K_i \cap T_\Gamma$. Note that the M_i are two-sided ideals of T_Γ . As $\mathcal{I}_1$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Z_2}$ -module, the right and left actions of T_Γ on M_1 factor through $T_2 := T_\Gamma/M_2$.

Now, T_Γ is noetherian and so M_1 is a finitely generated left and right T_2 -module. Let $R_2 := (R_\Gamma + M_2)/M_2 \subseteq T_2$. By hypothesis, R_2 and T_2 are equal in large degree. Thus R_2 is noetherian, and both M_1 and $N := R_\Gamma \cap M_1$ are finitely generated left and right R_2 -modules. Let $N' := T_2 N T_2 \subseteq M_1$. This is a finitely generated R_2 -module; since R_2 and T_2 are equal in large degree, N' and N are also equal in large degree.

There is thus some n_0 so that $N_{\geq n_0} = N'_{\geq n_0}$ is a left and right T_2 -module. That is, $N_{\geq n_0}$ is an ideal of T_Γ . As $N_{\geq n_0} \subseteq R_\Gamma$ and R_Γ and T_Γ have no nonzero ideals in common, $N_{\geq n_0} = 0$.

Since $(R_\Gamma)_{\geq n_0} \cap M_1 = 0$, we have an injection $(R_\Gamma)_{\geq n_0} \hookrightarrow T_1$. This implies that the map defined by the sections $(\overline{R}_\Gamma)_n$ of $\mathcal{M}_n$ factors through Z_1 for $n \geq n_0$, and so is not an embedding. This gives a contradiction. $\square$

We return to the proof of Theorem 9.1. We show that R_Γ and T_Γ are equal in large degree. By noetherian induction on Γ , we may assume for any proper σ -invariant closed subscheme $Y \subseteq \Gamma$ that R_Y has finite codimension in T_Y .

We first suppose that Γ is reducible. Let k be such that σ^k fixes all irreducible components of Γ . The hypotheses of Lemma 9.2 thus hold for $R_\Gamma^{(k)}$ and $T_\Gamma^{(k)}$. Applying Lemma 9.2, we see that $T_\Gamma^{(k)}/R_\Gamma^{(k)}$ is finite-dimensional.

We show that this implies that T_Γ/R_Γ is finite-dimensional. Let $\mathcal{F}_n := \mathcal{R}_n|_\Gamma$, and let

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{F}_n)_{\sigma^n}.$$

The noetherian property of $\mathcal{T}$ descends to the $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ -bimodule algebra $\mathcal{F}$, and so $\mathcal{F}$ and its Veronese $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ are noetherian. As the restriction of an ample sequence to a σ -invariant subscheme, the sequence of bimodules $\{(\mathcal{F}_{rk})_{\sigma^{rk}}\}_{r \geq 0}$ is left and right ample.

Recall that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{O}_\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{L}|_\Gamma$. Fix $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, and let

$$\mathcal{P} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_{i+nk} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{i+nk}.$$

Let $\mathcal{N} := (\mathcal{L}_i^{\sigma^{-i}})|_\Gamma$. The sheaf $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{N}^{-1}$ on Γ is invertible, and so the submodule lattices of the right $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ -modules $\mathcal{P}$ and

$$(\mathcal{J} \mathcal{N}^{-1})_{\sigma^{-i}} \otimes \mathcal{P} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{\sigma^{-i}} \mathcal{M}_{nk})_{\sigma^{nk}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$$

are isomorphic. In particular, $\mathcal{P}$ is a coherent right $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ -module.

Fix n_0 so that if $n \geq i + n_0 k$, then $(\overline{R}_\Gamma)_n$ generates $\mathcal{P}_n$. Let $n_1 \geq n_0$ be such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i+n_0 k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{i+n_1 k}$$

generates $\mathcal{P}_{\geq i+n_0 k}$ as a right $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ -module. Then for $r \geq n_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\Gamma)_{i+rk} &= H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{J} \mathcal{M}_{i+rk}) z^{i+rk} = H^0(\Gamma, \sum_{j=n_0}^{n_1} \mathcal{F}_{i+jk} \mathcal{F}_{(r-j)k}^{\sigma^{i+jk}}) z^{i+rk} \\ &= \sum_{j=n_0}^{n_1} H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}_{i+jk} \mathcal{F}_{(r-j)k}^{\sigma^{i+jk}}) z^{i+rk}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.3, for fixed ℓ and for $r \gg 0$, we have

$$(R_\Gamma)_\ell (T_\Gamma)_{rk} = H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}_\ell \mathcal{F}_{rk}^{\sigma^\ell}) z^{\ell+rk}.$$

Recall that $R_\Gamma^{(k)}$ and $T_\Gamma^{(k)}$ are equal in large degree. Thus, by taking $r \gg 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_\Gamma)_{i+rk} &\subseteq (T_\Gamma)_{i+rk} = \sum_{j=n_0}^{n_1} (R_\Gamma)_{i+jk} (T_\Gamma)_{(r-j)k} = \\ &\sum_{j=n_0}^{n_1} (R_\Gamma)_{i+jk} (R_\Gamma)_{(r-j)k} \subseteq (R_\Gamma)_{i+rk}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, R_Γ has finite codimension in T_Γ .

Now suppose that Γ is irreducible but not reduced. Then the nilradical $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ is a σ -invariant nilpotent ideal sheaf on Γ ; so the hypotheses of Lemma 9.2 hold for R_Γ and T_Γ , with $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{I}_\ell = \mathcal{N}$. We see again that T_Γ/R_Γ is finite-dimensional.

Thus we have reduced to considering the case that Γ is reduced and irreducible. Now, if $\Omega \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, then R_Γ and T_Γ are equal in large degree by [AS95, Theorem 4.1]; in particular, this holds if Γ is a point. If $\Omega \cap \Gamma$ is nonempty, and Γ is a reduced and irreducible curve, then T_Γ/R_Γ is finite-dimensional by [AS95, Proposition 5.4].

We have thus shown that there is an ideal K of T that is contained in R and so that $(R/K)_n = (R_\Gamma)_n = (T_\Gamma)_n = (T/K)_n$ for $n \gg 0$. Thus $R_n = T_n$ for $n \gg 0$. $\square$

10. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this final section, we prove Theorem 1.10, Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.11. We also discuss possible extensions to birationally commutative graded algebras of higher GK-dimension.

We restate our main result.

Theorem 10.1. *Let $\mathbb{k}$ be an uncountable algebraically closed field and let R be a birationally commutative projective surface over $\mathbb{k}$. Then there is transverse surface data $\mathbb{E} = (Y, \mathcal{M}, \phi, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$, where ϕ is numerically trivial, and a positive integer N so that $R^{(N)} \cong T(\mathbb{E})$.*

Proof. By Corollary 7.11, there are a positive integer ℓ and transverse surface data

$$\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$$

so that X is normal, σ is numerically trivial, $\overline{R}_\ell$ generates K , and

$$\mathcal{R}(X)^{(\ell)} \cong \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Note that Assumption-Notation 7.1 holds for $R^{(\ell)}$. Let Z_n be the base locus of $\overline{R}_{n\ell}$.

Let W be the cosupport of $\mathcal{ADC}$. Let

$$\mathbb{W} := \bigcup_{p \in W} O(p).$$

By Theorem 8.20 there are a projective variety Y , a numerically trivial automorphism ϕ of Y , an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Y , a locally principal subscheme Φ of Y , and a finite birational morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$ so that for $n \gg 0$ the rational functions $\overline{R}_{n\ell}$ induce a closed immersion into projective space at every point of $Y \setminus \theta(\mathbb{W})$ and so that $\theta\sigma = \phi\theta$, $\theta^*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}$, and $\theta^*\Phi = \Omega$; further, set-theoretically the base locus of the sections $\overline{R}_{n\ell} \subseteq H^0(Y, \mathcal{I}_\Phi \mathcal{M}_n)$ is equal to $\theta(Z_n)$.

Let Λ , Z , and Λ' be the subschemes of X defined respectively by $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{C}$. Note that θ is a local isomorphism at all points in $\Lambda \cup Z \cup \Lambda'$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the ideal sheaf of $\theta(\Lambda)$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the ideal sheaf of $\theta(Z)$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the ideal sheaf of $\theta(\Lambda')$.

The ideal sheaves $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{G}$ on Y pull back to $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Furthermore, by working locally at each point of $\theta(W)$, we see that $\mathcal{I}_\Phi \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. As distinct points in the cosupport of $\mathcal{D}$ have distinct σ -orbits, distinct points in the cosupport of $\mathcal{F}$ have distinct ϕ -orbits.

Let

$$\mathbb{D}' := (Y, \mathcal{M}, \phi, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi).$$

By construction, $\mathcal{R}(Y)^{(\ell)} \cong \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}')$. We check that the data $\mathbb{D}'$ is transverse. It follows from the corresponding statements for X that $\{\phi^n(\theta(Z))\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\phi^n(\theta(\Lambda))\}_{n \geq 0}$, and $\{\phi^n(\theta(\Lambda'))\}_{n \leq 0}$ are critically transverse, and that for any reduced and irreducible $\Gamma \subset Y$, the set $\{n \mid \phi^n(\Gamma) \subseteq \Phi\}$ is finite. Since Φ is locally principal, by [Sie09, Lemma 3.1], $\{\phi^n\Phi\}$ is critically transverse. Thus $\mathbb{D}'$ is transverse surface data.

We have seen that for $n \gg 0$, the sections in $\overline{R}_{n\ell}$ define a closed immersion at all points of $Y \setminus \theta(\mathbb{W})$. Theorem 9.1 now implies that there is some $k \geq 1$ so that

$$R_{n\ell} = T_n$$

for $n \geq k$. Thus if $\mathbb{E}$ is the surface data given by Lemma 6.11 so that

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}')^{(k)} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{E}),$$

then $\mathbb{E}$ is transverse and

$$R^{(k\ell)} = T(\mathbb{E}),$$

as claimed. $\square$

Theorem 1.10 follows directly from Theorem 10.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. By Theorem 10.1, we may replace R with a Veronese subring so that there is transverse surface data $\mathbb{D} = (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}, \Omega)$ with $R \cong T(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\mathcal{C}' := (\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{I}_\Omega \mathcal{A})$. Let

$$\mathbb{F} := (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}', \Omega)$$

and let $S := T(\mathbb{F})$. Note that $R_{\geq 1}$ is a left ideal of S . By [Sie09, Corollary 4.7], R and $\mathbb{I}_S(R_{\geq 1})$ are equal in large degree.

Now let $\mathcal{A}' := (\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{C}')$. Let

$$\mathbb{G} := (X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}', \emptyset)$$

and let $T := T(\mathbb{G})$. Then $S_{\geq 1}$ is a right ideal of T , and applying [Sie09, Corollary 4.7] again, we see that S and $\mathbb{I}_T(S_{\geq 1})$ are equal in large degree. The pair $(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{C}')$ is maximal with respect to

$$\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}.$$

If $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}_X$, then T is a twisted homogeneous coordinate ring. If $\mathcal{D}$ is nontrivial, then T is an ADC ring, as defined in the introduction, and R is in large degree equal to a left idealizer inside a right idealizer in T . $\square$

We prove one corollary of Theorem 10.1. Recall that a noetherian connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra R satisfies left (right) χ_j if, for all $i \leq j$, and for all finitely generated left (right) graded R -modules N , the groups

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/R_{\geq n}, N)$$

are finite-dimensional. If R satisfies left and right χ_j for all j , then R satisfies χ .

Corollary 10.2. *Let R be a birationally commutative projective surface. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *R satisfies left χ_2 ;*
- (2) *R satisfies right χ_2 ;*
- (3) *R satisfies χ ;*
- (4) *Some Veronese $R^{(k)}$ is a twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, \mathcal{L}, \sigma)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is σ -ample.*

Proof. For any $k \geq 1$, R is a finitely generated left and right $R^{(k)}$ -module, by [AS95, Lemma 4.10(iii)]. Thus left or right χ_i hold for R if and only if they hold for $R^{(k)}$. By Theorem 10.1, for some k we have $R^{(k)} \cong T(\mathbb{D})$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is transverse surface data. The four conditions are equivalent for $T(\mathbb{D})$ by [Sie09, Theorem 5.9]. $\square$

Theorem 1.11 follows from Corollary 10.2.

To end this chapter, we make a few remarks on a possible extension of Theorem 10.1 to rings of higher GK-dimension. One class of rings one would like to understand are what we will call for purposes of discussion *GK 5 birationally commutative projective surfaces*: that is, connected $\mathbb{N}$ -graded noetherian domains R whose graded quotient ring is of the form

$$K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma]$$

for some field K of transcendence degree 2 and geometric, but non-quasi-trivial automorphism σ of K . (Recall from Theorem 3.1 that such a ring must have GK-dimension 5.) The results of [RS06] on algebras generated in degree 1 apply also to GK 5 birationally commutative projective surfaces. We conjecture that Theorem 10.1 extends to algebras of this type that are not generated in degree 1. To make this extension would require modifying proofs in the current work that use the hypothesis that σ is quasi-trivial.

This hypothesis is often convenient, and is sometimes essential to our proofs. We have not been able to construct alternate proofs for all results that rely on quasi-triviality. It appears, however, that one can use the Kodaira classification of surfaces to show that there are limited possibilities for defining data of GK 5 surfaces. This work is ongoing.

There are also GK 4 finitely $\mathbb{N}$ -graded subrings of $K[z, z^{-1}; \sigma]$ for appropriate K of transcendence degree 2 and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(K)$. By Theorem 3.1, for these algebras σ is not geometric. Rogalski and Stafford conjecture [RS06] that these GK 4 algebras are never noetherian. Proving or disproving this conjecture is an interesting and delicate question; if some prove to be noetherian, one would presumably hope to classify them, as well.

Finally, one can ask whether some version of Theorem 10.1 works for higher-dimensional varieties. To begin to answer this question, it clearly makes sense to work over $\mathbb{C}$ and to work with automorphisms σ that have a projective model X on which they are quasi-trivial. By [Zha08, Lemma 2.23], this is equivalent to assuming that some power of σ lies in $\text{Aut}^o(X)$.

To try to extend Theorem 10.1 to this context, one has first to understand naïve blowups at higher-dimensional subvarieties. What transversality properties of the movement of a surface under an automorphism of a 4-fold ensure that the corresponding naïve blowup is noetherian? Assuming that these questions are solved, one would then need to modify the many proofs in this paper that depend on the fact that X is a surface; for example, we constantly use the fact that by definition, the base locus of the sections in $\overline{R}_n$ must be 0-dimensional, since the corresponding invertible sheaf is defined as a minimal object. Similar problems would arise in using the techniques of [RS06], since their proofs rely on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity techniques that require low dimension of the subschemes one is blowing up. So far little is known about these interesting questions.

REFERENCES

- [Art95] M. Artin, *Some problems on three-dimensional graded domains*, Representation theory and algebraic geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 238, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995, pp. 1–19.
- [AS95] M. Artin and J. T. Stafford, *Noncommutative graded domains with quadratic growth*, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), no. 2, 231–276.
- [AV90] M. Artin and M. Van den Bergh, *Twisted homogeneous coordinate rings*, J. Algebra **133** (1990), no. 2, 249–271.
- [Bou98] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra. Chapters 1–7*, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [DF01] J. Diller and C. Favre, *Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 6, 1135–1169.
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Gro61] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique, III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, I*, vol. 11, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 1961.

- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Kee00] D. S. Keeler, *Criteria for σ -ampleness*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 3, 517–532.
- [Kol85] J. Kollár, *Toward moduli of singular varieties*, Compositio Math. **56** (1985), no. 3, 369–398.
- [KRS05] D. S. Keeler, D. Rogalski, and J. T. Stafford, *Naïve noncommutative blowing up*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 3, 491–546.
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [Lip69] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 195–279.
- [Rog07] D. Rogalski, *GK-dimension of birationally commutative surfaces*, arXiv:0707.3643, 2007.
- [RS06] D. Rogalski and J. T. Stafford, *A class of noncommutative projective surfaces*, arXiv:math/0612657, 2006.
- [RS07] D. Rogalski and J. T. Stafford, *Naïve noncommutative blowups at zero-dimensional schemes*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), no. 2, 794–833.
- [Sie08] S. J. Sierra, *Geometric idealizers*, To appear in Transactions of the AMS. arXiv: 0809.3971, 2008.
- [Sie09] ———, *Geometric algebras on projective surfaces*, arXiv: 0910.5016, 2009.
- [SZ94] J. T. Stafford and J. J. Zhang, *Examples in non-commutative projective geometry.*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **116** (1994), no. 3, 415–433.
- [Van96] M. Van den Bergh, *A translation principle for the four-dimensional Sklyanin algebras*, J. Algebra **184** (1996), no. 2, 435–490.
- [Zar62] O. Zariski, *The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface*, Ann. of Math **76** (1962), 560–615.
- [Zha08] De-Qi Zhang, *Dynamics of automorphisms on projective complex manifolds*, arXiv: 08104675, 2008.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ 08544
E-mail address: `ssierra@princeton.edu`